arXiv:1701.02247v1 [math.AP] 9 Jan 2017

GLOBAL EXISTENCE AND CONVERGENCE OF Q-CURVATURE FLOW ON MANIFOLDS OF EVEN DIMENSION

QUỐC ANH NGÔ AND HONG ZHANG

Abstract. Using a negative gradient flow approach, we generalize and unify some existence theorems for the problem of prescribing Q-curvature first by Baird, Fardoun, and Regbaoui (*Calc. Var.* **27** 75-104) for 4-manifolds with a possible sign-changing curvature candidate then by Brendle (*Ann. Math.* **158** 323-343) for n-manifolds with even n with positive curvature candidate to the case of n-manifolds of all even dimension with sign-changing curvature candidates. Making use of the Łojasiewicz–Simon inequality, we also address the rate of the convergence.

1. Introduction

On a closed manifold (M^n, g) of dimension $n \geqslant 3$, a formally self-adjoint geometric differential operator A_g being calculated with the metric g is called *conformally covariant of bidegree* (a, b) if

$$A_{g_w}(\varphi) = e^{-bw} A_g(e^{aw}\varphi) \tag{1.1}$$

for all $\varphi \in C^\infty(M^n)$ where $g_w := e^{2w}g$ is a conformal metric conformally to g, usually denoted by $g_w \in [g]$.

Of importance in conformal geometry is the *second-order conformal Laplacian* defined on closed manifolds of dimension $n \geqslant 3$. To be precise, if we denote

$$L_g := -\Delta_g + \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} R_g$$

where R_g is the scalar curvature of g, then L_g is conformally covariant of bidegree $((n-2)/2, (n+2)/2)$ because

$$L_{g_w}(\varphi) = e^{-(n+2)w/2} L_g(e^{(n-2)w/2}\varphi) \tag{1.2}$$

for all $\varphi \in C^\infty(M^n)$. Upon using the change of variable $u^{4/(n-2)} = e^{2w}$, Eq. (1.2) leads us to

$$L_{g_w}(\varphi) = u^{-(n+2)/(n-2)} L_g(u\varphi)$$

for all $\varphi \in C^\infty(M^n)$. By setting $\varphi \equiv 1$, the scalar curvature R_g obeys the so-called conformal change

$$-\frac{4(n-1)}{n-2}\Delta_g u + R_g u = R_{g_u} u^{(n+2)/(n-2)} \tag{1.3}$$

where $g_u = u^{4/(n-2)}g$. In the literature, Eq. (1.3) for unknown u is known as the prescribed scalar curvature problem with the prescribed function R_{g_u}. This challenging problem has already been captured much attention by many mathematicians in the last few decades. Notice that a special case of Eq. (1.3) when R_{g_u} is constant is the famous Yamabe problem. Since these two problems were in the core of conformal geometry, we do not mention any particular reference since it can be easily found in the literature.

Date: 10th January, 2017 at 01:24.

Key words and phrases. Łojasiewicz–Simon inequality, Q-curvature, negative gradient flow, closed manifolds, even dimension.

The first high-order example of conformal operators is the *fourth-order Paneitz operator* $\mathbf{P}^4$ for (M^4, g), discovered by [Pan83]. This operator is given as follows

$$\mathbf{P}^4_g = (-\Delta_g)^2 \cdot -\mathrm{div}_g\Big((\frac{2}{3}R_g g - 2\,\mathrm{Ric}\,)d\cdot\Big), \tag{1.4}$$

where d is the differential and Ric denotes the Ricci tensor. (Note that the subscript 4 denotes the order of the Paneitz operator being used, not the dimension of the underlying manifold.) Clearly, the Paneitz operator $\mathbf{P}^4$ is conformally covariant of bidegree $(0, 4)$ since

$$\mathbf{P}^4_{g_w}(\varphi) = e^{-4w}\mathbf{P}^4_g(\varphi) \tag{1.5}$$

for all $\varphi \in C^\infty(M^4)$ where $g_w = e^{2w}g$. Upon letting $\varphi \equiv 1$, we deduce that

$$Q_{g_w} = e^{-4u}(\mathbf{P}^4_g u + Q_g),$$

where the quantity Q is given by

$$Q_g = -\frac{1}{6}(\Delta R_g - R_g^2 + 3|\,\mathrm{Ric}_g\,|^2).$$

The quantity Q_g is called the Q-curvature associated with the Paneitz operator $\mathbf{P}^4$ on (M^4, g), which was discovered by Branson [Bra85]. One of interesting features of the Q-curvature, by the Gauss–Bonnet–Chern theorem, is that it obeys the following identity

$$\int_M Q_g d\mu_g + \frac{1}{4}\int_M |W_g|^2_g\, d\mu_g = 8\pi^2\chi(M),$$

where $\chi(M)$ is the Euler-characteristic of M^4 and W_g is the Weyl tensor. Since the Weyl tensor W_g is conformally invariant, the total Q-curvature $\int_M Q_g d\mu_g$ is also conformally invariant; that is the value

$$k_4 := \int_M Q_g d\mu_g$$

is independent of the metric g but the conformal class $[g]$ represented by g. (Note that the subscript 4 in k_4 indicates the order of the Paneitz operator which then defines Q_g.) Similar to Aubin's threshold in the Yamabe problem, there is a threshold $16\pi^2$ for k_4 when working with Q-curvatures on M^4. (The number $16\pi^2$, which is $3!\mathrm{vol}(\mathbb{S}^4)$, is exactly equal to $\int_{\mathbb{S}^4} Q_{g_{\mathbb{S}^4}} d\mu_{g_{\mathbb{S}^4}}$ being calculated with respect to the standard metric $g_{\mathbb{S}^4}$ on $\mathbb{S}^4$.)

For general even dimension $n \geqslant 4$, Graham, Jenne, Mason and Sparling [GJMS92] discovered a similar operator $\mathbf{P}^n_g$ which is a conformally invariant self-adjoint operator of order n with leading term $(-\Delta)^{n/2}$. This operator is commonly known as the GJMS operator. The work [GJMS92] was based on an earlier work by Fefferman and Graham [FG85]. More precisely, the GJMS operator is conformally covariant of bidegree $(0, n)$ in the sense that

$$\mathbf{P}^n_{g_w}(\varphi) = e^{-nw}\mathbf{P}^n_g(\varphi) \tag{1.6}$$

for all $\varphi \in C^\infty(M^n)$ where $g_w = e^{2w}g$. In addition, there is curvature quantity Q^n_g associated with the operator $\mathbf{P}^n_g$, analogue with the Q-curvature Q^4_g, which also satisfies a similar conformal change

$$Q_{g_u} = e^{-nu}(\mathbf{P}^n_g u + Q_g). \tag{1.7}$$

In this paper, we consider the problem of prescribing Q-curvature on a closed manifold of even dimension. Let us first describe the problem in details. Let (M^n, g_0) be closed manifolds of even dimension n with background metric g_0. This problem can be formulated as follows: Let f be a smooth function on M. The problem is to ask if there exists a pointwise conformal metric $g \in [g_0]$, that is $g = e^{2u}g_0$ for some u, such that f can be realized as the Q-curvature of g. Thanks to the rule of conformal change (1.7), this problem is equivalent to solving the high order nonlinear equation

$$\mathbf{P}_0 u + Q_0 = f e^{nu}, \tag{1.8}$$

where, for simplicity, we set $\mathbf{P}_0 := \mathbf{P}^n_{g_0}$ and $Q_0 = Q^n_{g_0}$.

For $f > 0$ keeping sign, Brendle [Bre03] used a flow method to show that Eq.(1.8) has a solution provided that $\mathbf{P}_0$ is *(weakly) positive with kernel consisting of the constant functions* and

$$\int_M Q_0 \ d\mu_{g_0} =: k_n < (n-1)!\omega_n,$$

where ω_n is the volume of unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Here by positivity of $\mathbf{P}_0$ we mean

$$\int_M u \cdot \mathbf{P}_0 u \ d\mu_{g_0} \geqslant \lambda_1(\mathbf{P}_0) \int_M (u-\overline{u})^2 \, d\mu_{g_0} \geqslant 0 \tag{1.9}$$

for all smooth function u where $\lambda_1(\mathbf{P}_0)$ is the first nontrivial eigenvalue of the operator $\mathbf{P}_0$ and $\overline{u} = (\mathrm{vol}(M, g_0))^{-1} \int_M u \, d\mu_{g_0}$. (When $n = 4$, the threshold $(n-1)!\omega_n$ for k_n coincides with $16\pi^2$, the threshold for k_4.) More precisely, given any initial data u_0, he considered the evolution of metrics as follow

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial g(t)}{\partial t} = -\left(Q_{g(t)} - \dfrac{\int_M Q_0 \ d\mu_{g_0}}{\int_M f \ d\mu_{g_0}} f\right) g(t) & \text{for } t > 0, \\ g(0) = e^{2u_0} g_0. \end{cases} \tag{1.10}$$

Then, Brendle showed that given any u_0 the flow (1.10) has a solution which exists for all time and converges at infinity to a metric g_∞ with

$$Q_{g_\infty} = \frac{\int_M Q_0 \ d\mu_{g_0}}{\int_M f \ d\mu_{g_0}} f.$$

Later on, Baird et al. [BFR06] considered the problem of prescribing Q-curvature on 4-manifolds, where they allow f to change sign. They adopt an abstract negative gradient flow which is different from Brendle's to realize it. Precisely speaking, they considered the functional

$$J[u] = \int_M u \cdot \mathbf{P}_0 u \ d\mu_{g_0} + 2 \int_M Q_o u \ d\mu_{g_0},$$

on the Sobolev space $H = H^2(M, g_0)$ under the constraint

$$u \in X := \left\{ u \in H : \int_M e^{4u} f \ d\mu_{g_0} = \int_M Q_0 \ d\mu_{g_0} \right\}.$$

Then, they thought of X as a hypersurface of the Sobolev space H and studied the negative gradient flow for conformal factors with respective to this hypersurface below

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u = -\nabla^X J(u) \\ u(0) = u_0 \in X. \end{cases} \tag{1.11}$$

Clearly, if the flow (1.11) exists for all time and converges at infinity, then the limit function u_∞ yields a solution of (1.8) with $n = 4$.

For general manifolds of even dimension, there were two ways to improve Brendle's. First, by using a variational approach, Baird et al. [BFR09] improved Brendle's by relaxing the positivity property of $\mathbf{P}_0$ and allowing $f \geqslant 0$. However, they kept using the assumption that $\mathbf{P}_0$ has kernel consisting of constant functions. Note that positivity property of the operator $\mathbf{P}_0$ on $(\mathbb{S}^n, g_{\mathbb{S}^n})$ is no longer needed as it automatically holds; see Appendix C. In another work of the same research group, Fardoun et al. [FR12] improved Brendle's by assuming the positive operator $\mathbf{P}_0$ has non-trivial kernel in the sense that its kernel has dimension at least 2.

Inspired by the work [BFR06] for sign-changing candidates in low dimension and by [Bre03] for positive candidates in high dimensions, the aim of this paper is to study the prescribing Q-curvature problem on all even dimensional manifolds where the candidate curvature f is allowed to change sign. The method here we used is again the negative

gradient flow; see also [NX15]. However, instead of the abstract negative gradient flow method (1.11), we using a flow which is an analogue of (1.10). In the following, we will describe our flow method and state the main result of the paper.

As in [BFR06], we consider the following energy functional for conformal factors

$$\mathscr{E}[u] = \frac{n}{2}\int_M u\cdot \mathbf{P}_0 u \ d\mu_{g_0} + n\int_M Q_0 u \ d\mu_{g_0} \tag{1.12}$$

on a subset of the Sobolev space $H^{n/2}(M,g_0)$ given by the following constraint

$$u\in \left\{u\in H^{n/2}(M,g_0) : \int_M f e^{nu} \ d\mu_{g_0} = \int_M Q_0 \ d\mu_{g_0}\right\} =: Y. \tag{1.13}$$

Because $\mathbf{P}_0$ has the leading term $(-\Delta)^{n/2}$, it is not hard to see that there exists a positive constant C depending only on M, such that for any $u\in H^{n/2}(M,g_0)$ we have

$$C^{-1}\int_M u\cdot(-\Delta_0)^{n/2}u\, d\mu_{g_0} \leqslant \int_M u\cdot \mathbf{P}_0 u \ d\mu_{g_0} \leqslant C\int_M u\cdot(-\Delta_0)^{n/2}u\, d\mu_{g_0}. \tag{1.14}$$

Notice that an usual norm for $H^p(M,g_0)$ is given as follows

$$\|u\|^2_{H^p(M,g_0)} = \int_M |(-\Delta_0)^{p/2}u|^2\, d\mu_{g_0} + \int_M u^2\, d\mu_{g_0}. \tag{1.15}$$

However, in view of (1.14) and since the GJMS operator $\mathbf{P}_0$ is positive with kernel consisting of constant functions we can define an equivalent norm on $H^{n/2}(M,g_0)$ as the following

$$\|u\|^2_{H^{n/2}(M,g_0)} = \int_M u\cdot \mathbf{P}_0 u \ d\mu_{g_0} + \int_M u^2 \ d\mu_{g_0}. \tag{1.16}$$

Now, we define our negative gradient flow for the functional (1.12) under the constraint (1.13) as follows

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}g(t) = -2(Q_{g(t)} - \lambda(t) f)g(t), \tag{1.17}$$

where the parameter λ is chosen, instead of preserving the volume of metric $g(t)$ in (1.10), to fix the quantity $\int_M f\ d\mu_{g(t)}$ for all time. A direct calculation for $\frac{d}{dt}\int_M f\ d\mu_{g(t)} = 0$ shows

$$\int_M f(\lambda(t) f - Q_{g(t)})\, d\mu_{g(t)} = 0. \tag{1.18}$$

Hence, the natural choice of λ is

$$\lambda(t) = \frac{\int_M f Q_{g(t)}\ d\mu_{g(t)}}{\int_M f^2\ d\mu_{g(t)}}. \tag{1.19}$$

Consequently, the constraint (1.13) is preserved along the flow. Up to this point, we can state our main result as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let (M,g_0) be a compact, oriented n-dimensional Riemannian manifold with n even. Assume that the GJMS operator $\mathbf{P}_0$ is positive with kernel consisting of constant functions. Moreover, assume that the metric g_0 satisfies*

$$\int_M Q_0\, d\mu_{g_0} < (n-1)!\omega_n.$$

Also, we choose the initial metric $g(0) = e^{2u_0}g_0$ with $u_0\in Y$ and let f be a smooth function on M such that

$$\left.\begin{array}{lll} \text{(i)} & \sup_{x\in M} f(x) > 0 & \text{if } \displaystyle\int_M Q_0\, d\mu_{g_0} > 0, \\ \text{(ii)} & \sup_{x\in M} f(x) > 0 \text{ and } \inf_{x\in M} f(x) < 0 & \text{if } \displaystyle\int_M Q_0\, d\mu_{g_0} = 0, \\ \text{(iii)} & \sup_{x\in M} f(x) \leqslant C_0 \text{ and } \inf_{x\in M} f(x) < 0 & \text{if } \displaystyle\int_M Q_0\, d\mu_{g_0} < 0, \end{array}\right\} \tag{1.20}$$

where C_0 is a positive constant depending only on $f^- = \max(-f(x), 0), u_0, g_0$ and M. Then, the flow (1.17) has a solution which is defined for all time and converges in C^∞-topology to a metric g_∞ with $Q_\infty = \lambda_\infty f$ as time tends to infinity, where λ_∞ is a constant and, in addition, equal to 1 if $\int_M Q_0\, d\mu_{g_0} \neq 0$. Moreover, if we write $g(t) = e^{2u(t)} g_0$ and $g_\infty = e^{2u_\infty} g_0$, then the convergence $g(t) \to g_\infty$ is not worse than a polynomial rate in the sense that there exist two positive constants B and β such that

$$\|u(t) - u_\infty\|_{C^\infty(M)} \leqslant B(1+t)^{-\beta}$$

for all $t \geqslant 0$. Finally, if $\int_M Q_0\, d\mu_{g_0} < 0$ and $f \leqslant 0$, then the convergence $g(t) \to g_\infty$ is exponentially fast.

We now consider the case $M = \mathbb{S}^n$, the standard n-sphere. Let G be a group of isometries of $\mathbb{S}^n$. Recall that a function f is said to be G-invriant if it satisfies

$$f(\sigma x) = f(x)$$

for all $\sigma \in G$ and $x \in \mathbb{S}^n$. Furthermore, we say that a conformal metric g to $g_{\mathbb{S}^n}$ is G-invariant if u is a G-invariant function when g is written as $g = e^{2u} g_{\mathbb{S}^n}$. Let Σ be the set of fixed points of G, that is,

$$\Sigma = \{x \in \mathbb{S}^n : \sigma x = x \text{ for all } \sigma \in G\}.$$

Our next result is as follows.

Theorem 1.2. *Let f be a smooth and G-invariant function on $\mathbb{S}^n$ with $\sup_{x\in\mathbb{S}^n} f > 0$. Choose the initial metric $g(0) = e^{2u_0} g_{\mathbb{S}^n}$ with $u_0 \in Y$ being G-invariant. If either*

(a) $\Sigma = \emptyset$ *or*
(b)

$$\sup_{x\in\Sigma} f(x) \leqslant (n-1)! \exp\Big\{ - \frac{\mathscr{E}[u_0]}{(n-1)!\omega_n}\Big\},$$

then the flow (1.17) has a solution which is defined for all time and converges in C^∞-topology to a G-invariant conformal metric g_∞ with $Q_\infty = f$ as time tends to infinity. Moreover, if we write $g(t) = e^{2u(t)} g_{\mathbb{S}^n}$ and $g_\infty = e^{2u_\infty} g_{\mathbb{S}^n}$, then the convergence $g(t) \to g_\infty$ is not worse than a polynomial rate in the sense that there exist two positive constants B and β such that

$$\|u(t) - u_\infty\|_{C^\infty(M)} \leqslant B(1+t)^{-\beta}$$

for all $t \geqslant 0$.

Recall that on $\mathbb{S}^n$, the GJMS operator $\mathbf{P}_0$ is positive with kernel consisting of constant functions. As a direct consequence of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, we have

Corollary 1.3. *Let (M, g_0) be a compact, oriented n-dimensional Riemannian manifold with n even. Assume that the GJMS operator $\mathbf{P}_0$ is positive with kernel consisting of constant functions. Let f be a non-constant, smooth function on M. Then, the following hold true.*

(i) *If $\int_M Q_0\, d\mu_{g_0} < 0$, then there exists a conformal metric to g_0 with associated Q-curvature f given that f satisfies $\inf_{x\in M} f(x) < 0$ and there exists a positive constant C depending only on f^-, g_0 and M such that $\sup_{x\in M} f(x) \leqslant C$. In particular, if $f \leqslant 0$, there exists a conformal metric to g_0 such that f can be realized its Q-curvature.*
(ii) *If $\int_M Q_0\, d\mu_{g_0} = 0$, then there exists a conformal metric to g_0 with associated Q-curvature αf for $\alpha \in \{-1, 1\}$ provided $\sup_{x\in M} f(x) > 0$, $\inf_{x\in M} f(x) < 0$, and $\int_M f\, d\mu_{g_0} \neq 0$.*
(iii) *If $0 < \int_M Q_0\, d\mu_{g_0} < (n-1)!\omega_n$, then there exists a conformal metric to g_0 with associated Q-curvature f if and only if $\sup_{x\in M} f(x) > 0$.*

(iv) *Let $M = \mathbb{S}^n$ and f be a G-invariant function on $\mathbb{S}^n$ with $\sup_{x\in\mathbb{S}^n} f > 0$. If either*
   (a) $\Sigma = \emptyset$ *or*
   (b) *there exist $y_0 \in \Sigma$ and $r_0 > 0$ such that*

$$\sup_{x\in\Sigma} f(x) \leqslant \max\Big(\fint_{\mathbb{S}^n} f\circ\phi_{y_0,r_0}\, d\mu_{\mathbb{S}^n}, 0\Big), \tag{1.21}$$

then there exists a G-invariant conformal metric to g_0 with associated Q-curvature f. In particular, if

$$\sup_{x\in\Sigma} f(x) \leqslant \max\big(\fint_{\mathbb{S}^n} f\, d\mu_{\mathbb{S}^n}, 0\big),$$

then there exists a G-invariant conformal metric to g_0 with associated Q-curvature f.

In view of Corollary 1.3(ii) above, we are unable to get rid of the multiple α in (ii), due to the fact that we cannot confirm the sign of λ_∞. However, note that if $\sup_{x\in M} f(x) > 0$ and $\int_M f\, d\mu_{g_0} < 0$, then Ge and Xu [GX08] successfully removed the multiple α by using a variational approach. Note, however, that the authors in [BFR06, Corollary 2.4] was able to confirm the sign of λ_∞ in some cases. The result in [BFR06] roughly says that if there exists some $\widetilde{u} \in C^2(M)$ with small $\int_M |\Delta\widetilde{u}|^2\, d\mu_{g_0}$, then there exists a conformal metric g with its Q-curvature equal to $-\mathrm{sgn}(\int_M f\, d\mu_{g_0}) f$. The proof makes use of $(n/2)^2 = n$; hence it is not easy to generalize such a result for the general operator $\mathbf{P}_0$ because the precise formula for $\mathbf{P}_0$ is not clear.

Before closing this section, we note that, as in [BFR06] and [Bre03], the metric g_0 in Theorem 1.1 is limited to the so-called "subcritical" in the sense $k_n < (n-1)!\omega_n$. When $k_n \geqslant (n-1)!\omega_n$, the analysis is delicate since blow-up phenomena may occurs. This can be easily seen by considering the family of conformal diffeomorphism of $\mathbb{S}^n$. On the standard model $\mathbb{S}^n$, hence $k_n = (n-1)!\omega_n$, a lot of attempts by different methods have already been performed, for interested readers, we refer to [WX98, Bre03, Bre06, MS06, CX11, Ho12] and the references therein. Another source of useful information for the problem of prescribing Q-curvature can also be found, for example, in [DM08, LLL12, GM15].

2. The flow equation and its energy functional

In this section, we first derive the flow equations for the conformal factor u and the curvature Q and then show that the energy functional $\mathscr{E}$ is non-increasing.

Since the evolution equation (1.17) preserves the conformal structure, we may write $g(t) = e^{2u(t)}g_0$ for some real-valued function u. Then, we clearly have the following equation for the conformal factor u

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}u(t) = \lambda(t) f - Q_{g(t)} \tag{F}$$

for all $t \geqslant 0$. Thanks to (1.7), we also deduce that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}u = -\mathbf{P}_{g(t)}u - e^{-nu}Q_0 + \lambda(t) f$$

for all $t \geqslant 0$. By easy calculations, we can obtain evolution equations for $\partial_t Q_{g(t)}$ and $\partial_t \lambda(t)$ along the flow. This is the content of the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose that $u(x,t)$ is a solution of the flow (F). Then, we have the following claims:*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}Q_{g(t)} = -\mathbf{P}_{g(t)}(Q_{g(t)} - \lambda(t) f) + nQ_{g(t)}(Q_{g(t)} - \lambda(t) f)$$

and

$$\lambda'(t) = \Big(\int_M f^2\, d\mu_{g(t)}\Big)^{-1} \int_M (\mathbf{P}_{g(t)} f - n\lambda(t) f^2)(\lambda(t) f - Q_{g(t)})\, d\mu_{g(t)}$$

where, as always, $\mathbf{P}_{g(t)} = e^{-nu}\mathbf{P}_0$ *is the GJMS operator with respective to the conformal metric* $g(t)$.

Proof. Since this is just a routine calculation, we leave the proof to the reader. □

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose that* $u(x,t)$ *is a solution of the flow* (F). *Then, one has*

$$\frac{d\mathscr{E}[u]}{dt} = -n\int_M (Q_{g(t)} - \lambda(t)f)^2\, d\mu_{g(t)}.$$

In particular, the energy functional $\mathscr{E}[u]$ *is non-increasing along the flow.*

Proof. It follows from the flow equation for u, (1.7), and (1.18) that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d\mathscr{E}[u]}{dt} =& \frac{n}{2}\int_M u_t\cdot\mathbf{P}_0u + u\cdot\mathbf{P}_0u_t\ d\mu_{g_0} + n\int_M Q_0u_t\ d\mu_{g_0}\\ =& -n\int_M (Q_{g(t)} - \lambda(t)f)Q_{g(t)}\ d\mu_{g(t)}\\ =& -n\int_M (Q_{g(t)} - \lambda(t)f)^2\ d\mu_{g(t)} + n\lambda(t)\int_M f(\lambda(t)f - Q_{g(t)})\, d\mu_{g(t)}\\ =& -n\int_M (Q_{g(t)} - \lambda(t)f)^2\ d\mu_{g(t)}.\end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. □

Before going further, let us recall some important inequalities that will also be used in the present paper. First, we recall the Gagliardo–Nirenberg interpolation inequality, applied to any L^s-integrable function φ,

$$\int_M |\nabla^j\varphi|^p\, d\mu_{g_0} \leqslant \mathscr{C}^p\Big(\int_M |\nabla^m\varphi|^r\, d\mu_{g_0}\Big)^{p(1-\alpha)/r}\Big(\int_M |\varphi|^q\, d\mu_{g_0}\Big)^{p\alpha/q} \tag{2.1}$$

for some $\mathscr{C} > 0$ with $0 < \alpha < 1 \leqslant q, r \leqslant +\infty$ satisfying $1/p = j/n + (1/r - m/n)\alpha + (1-\alpha)/q$.

Since the high order GJMS operator $\mathbf{P}_0$ is self-adjoint and positive with kernel consisting of constant functions, we can apply Adam's inequality [Ada88, Theorem 2] to get

$$\int_M \exp\Big(\frac{n!\omega_n}{2}\frac{(u-\overline{u})^2}{\int_M u\cdot\mathbf{P}_0u\ d\mu_{g_0}}\Big)\, d\mu_{g_0} \leqslant \mathscr{C}_A \tag{2.2}$$

for some constant $\mathscr{C}_A > 0$. Here and throughout the paper, by $\overline{w}$ we mean the average of w calculated with respect to g_0, that is $\overline{w} = (\mathrm{vol}(M,g_0))^{-1}\int_M w\, d\mu_{g_0}$. (In the rest of our paper, by $\mathrm{vol}(K)$ we mean the volume of $K \subset M$ being calculated with respect to the background metric g_0. If we want to emphasize the metric g being used to calculate the volume of K, we shall write $\mathrm{vol}(K,g)$.) A detailed explanation for the validity of (2.2) can be found, for example, in [Bre03, page 330]. As a consequence of (2.2), we obtain the following Trudinger-type inequality

$$\int_M \exp\left(\alpha(u-\overline{u})\right)\ d\mu_{g_0} \leqslant \mathscr{C}_A\exp\Big(\frac{\alpha^2}{2n!\omega_n}\int_M u\cdot\mathbf{P}_0u\ d\mu_{g_0}\Big) \tag{2.3}$$

for all real number α.

3. Boundedness of u in $H^{n/2}$ for $0 \leqslant t \leqslant T$

In this section, we try to show that u is uniformly bounded in $H^{n/2}(M,g_0)$. To see this, we will split our argument into four cases depending on the sign and value of Q_0.

3.1. **The case $\int_M Q_0\ d\mu_{g_0} = 0$.** It follows from our choice of the initial data u_0 in (1.13) and (1.18) that $\int_M f\ d\mu_{g(t)} = 0$ for all $t \geqslant 0$, which implies the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Along the flow (F), the volume of the metric g is preserved. Hence, if we set $V^0 = \int_M e^{nu_0}\ d\mu_{g_0}$ then $\int_M e^{nu}\ d\mu_{g_0} = V^0$ for all t.*

Proof. Clearly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\int_M e^{nu}\ d\mu_{g_0} =& n\int_M u_t\, d\mu_{g(t)} = n\int_M (\lambda(t) f - Q_{g(t)})\, d\mu_{g(t)}\\ =& n\Big(\lambda(t)\int_M f\ d\mu_{g(t)} - \int_M Q_0\ d\mu_{g_0}\Big) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\int_M e^{nu}\ d\mu_{g_0}$ is constant along the flow; this proves the assertion. □

Lemma 3.2. *There exists a uniform constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\|u\|_{H^{n/2}(M,g_0)} \leqslant C$$

for all time t in the maximal interval of existence.

Proof. From Lemma 2.2, it follows that

$$\frac{n}{2}\int_M u\cdot \mathbf{P}_0 u\ d\mu_{g_0} + n\int_M Q_0(u-\overline{u})\ d\mu_{g_0} \leqslant \mathscr{E}[u_0]. \tag{3.1}$$

Using the Poincaré-type inequality (1.9), we get

$$\int_M (u-\overline{u})^2\ d\mu_{g_0} \leqslant \frac{1}{\lambda_1(\mathbf{P}_0)}\int_M u\cdot \mathbf{P}_0 u\ d\mu_{g_0},$$

which together with Young's inequality imply that

$$\Big|n\int_M Q_0(u-\overline{u})\ d\mu_{g_0}\Big| \leqslant \frac{n}{4}\int_M u\cdot \mathbf{P}_0 u\ d\mu_{g_0} + \frac{n}{\lambda_1(\mathbf{P}_0)}\int_M Q_0^2\ d\mu_{g_0}. \tag{3.2}$$

By substituting (3.2) into (3.1), we have

$$\int_M u\cdot \mathbf{P}_0 u\ d\mu_{g_0} \leqslant \frac{4}{n}\mathscr{E}[u_0] + \frac{4}{\lambda_1(\mathbf{P}_0)}\int_M Q_0^2\ d\mu_{g_0}, \tag{3.3}$$

Hence, in view of (1.16), to bound $\|u\|_{H^{n/2}(M,g_0)}$, it suffices to bound $\int_M u\, d\mu_{g_0}$. By Jensen's inequality and Lemma 3.1, we have that

$$e^{n\overline{u}} \leqslant \fint_M e^{nu}\ d\mu_{g_0} = V^0/\mathrm{vol}(M).$$

Therefore,

$$\overline{u} \leqslant \frac{1}{n}\log(V^0/\mathrm{vol}(M)).$$

To bound $\overline{u}$ from below, we apply the Trudinger-type inequality (2.3) and (3.3) to get

$$\int_M \exp\left(n(u-\overline{u})\right)\ d\mu_{g_0} \leqslant \mathscr{C}_A \exp\Big(\frac{2\mathscr{E}[u_0]}{(n-1)!\omega_n} + \frac{2n}{\lambda_1(n-1)!\omega_n}\int_M Q_0^2\ d\mu_{g_0}\Big).$$

Since $\int_M e^{nu}\ d\mu_{g_0} = V^0$, we conclude that

$$\exp\left(-n\overline{u}\right) \leqslant \frac{\mathscr{C}_A}{V^0}\exp\Big(\frac{2\mathscr{E}[u_0]}{(n-1)!\omega_n} + \frac{2n}{\lambda_1(n-1)!\omega_n}\int_M Q_0^2\ d\mu_{g_0}\Big).$$

Hence, we get

$$\overline{u} \geqslant -\Big(\frac{1}{n}\log\Big(\frac{\mathscr{C}_A}{V^0}\Big) + \frac{2}{\lambda_1(n-1)!\omega_n}\int_M Q_0^2\ d\mu_{g_0} + \frac{2\mathscr{E}[u_0]}{n!\omega_n}\Big).$$

By combining all the estimates above, we thus complete the proof. □

3.2. **The case $\int_M Q_0\ d\mu_{g_0} > 0$.** In this case, it first follows from our choice of initial data u_0 and (1.18) that $\int_M fe^{nu}\ d\mu_{g_0} = \int_M Q_0\ d\mu_{g_0}$ for all $t \geqslant 0$, which implies that

$$\int_M Q_0\ d\mu_{g_0} = \int_M fe^{nu}\ d\mu_{g_0} \leqslant (\sup_M f)\int_M e^{nu}\ d\mu_{g_0}.$$

Hence,

$$\int_M e^{nu}\ d\mu_{g_0} \geqslant \frac{1}{\sup_M f}\int_M Q_0\ d\mu_{g_0}. \tag{3.4}$$

It follows from (2.3) and (3.4) that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{n/2}{(n-1)!\omega_n}\int_M u\cdot \mathbf{P}_0 u\ d\mu_{g_0} &\geqslant \log\int_M \exp\left(n(u-\overline{u})\right)\ d\mu_{g_0} - \log \mathscr{C}_A\\ &\geqslant \log\Big(\frac{1}{\mathscr{C}_A \sup_M f}\int_M Q_0\ d\mu_{g_0}\Big) - n\overline{u}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\overline{u} \geqslant -\frac{n/2}{n!\omega_n}\int_M u\cdot \mathbf{P}_0 u\ d\mu_{g_0} + \frac{1}{n}\log\Big(\frac{1}{\mathscr{C}_A \sup_M f}\int_M Q_0\ d\mu_{g_0}\Big). \tag{3.5}$$

Using (3.5) and Lemma 2.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{E}[u_0] \geqslant& \mathscr{E}[u]\\ =&\frac{n}{2}\int_M u\cdot \mathbf{P}_0 u\ d\mu_{g_0} + n\int_M Q_0(u-\overline{u})\ d\mu_{g_0} + n\overline{u}\int_M Q_0\ d\mu_{g_0}\\ \geqslant&\frac{n}{2}\Big(1-\frac{\int_M Q_0\ d\mu_{g_0}}{(n-1)!\omega_n}\Big)\int_M u\cdot \mathbf{P}_0 u\ d\mu_{g_0} + n\int_M Q_0(u-\overline{u})\ d\mu_{g_0}\\ &+\int_M Q_0\ d\mu_{g_0}\log\Big(\frac{1}{\mathscr{C}_A \sup_M f}\int_M Q_0\ d\mu_{g_0}\Big).\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

By Young's inequality and (1.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Big|n\int_M Q_0(u-\overline{u})\ d\mu_{g_0}\Big| \leqslant& \frac{n}{4}\Big(1-\frac{\int_M Q_0\ d\mu_{g_0}}{(n-1)!\omega_n}\Big)\int_M u\cdot \mathbf{P}_0 u\ d\mu_{g_0}\\ &+\frac{n\int_M Q_0^2\ d\mu_{g_0}}{\lambda_1(\mathbf{P}_0)(1-(\int_M Q_0\ d\mu_{g_0})/(n-1)!\omega_n)}\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Since $\int_M Q_0\ d\mu_{g_0} < (n-1)!\omega_n$, by substituting (3.7) into (3.6), we conclude that there exists an uniform constant $C_2 > 0$ such that

$$\int_M u\cdot \mathbf{P}_0 u\ d\mu_{g_0} \leqslant C_2. \tag{3.8}$$

Substituting (3.8) into (3.5) gives that there exists an uniform constant C_3 such that

$$\overline{u} \geqslant C_3. \tag{3.9}$$

To get an upper bound for $\overline{u}$, we notice that (3.2) still holds in this case. Hence by substituting (3.2) into the first inequality in (3.6), the positivity of $\mathbf{P}_0$ and the inequality $\int_M Q_0\ d\mu_{g_0} > 0$, we get

$$\overline{u} \leqslant \frac{(n/\lambda_1(\mathbf{P}_0))\int_M Q_0^2\ d\mu_{g_0} + \mathscr{E}[u_0]}{n\int_M Q_0\ d\mu_{g_0}}. \tag{3.10}$$

By plugging (3.8), (3.9) and (3.10) into (1.9), we conclude that there exists a uniform constant $C_4 > 0$ such that

$$\int_M u^2\ d\mu_{g_0} \leqslant C_4. \tag{3.11}$$

The assertion is then proved by combining (3.8) and (3.11).

3.3. **The case $\int_M Q_0 \ d\mu_{g_0} < 0$.** To achieve our goal, we first need an analogue of [BFR06, Lemma 4.1] whose proof is provided in Appendix B for completeness.

Lemma 3.3. *Let K be a measurable subset of M with $\mathrm{vol}(K) > 0$. Then there exist two constants $\alpha > 1$ depending on M and g_0 and $C_K > 1$ depending on M, g_0, and $\mathrm{vol}(K)$ such that*

$$\int_M e^{nu} \ d\mu_{g_0} \leqslant C_K \exp\left(\alpha \|u_0\|^2_{H^{n/2}(M,g_0)}\right) \max\left\{\Big(\int_K e^{nu} \ d\mu_{g_0}\Big)^{\alpha}, 1\right\}.$$

Note that Lemma 3.3 holds regardless of the sign of $\int_M Q_0 \ d\mu_{g_0} < 0$. With the help of this lemma, we are able to show the uniform bound of the volume of the time metric $g(t)$.

Lemma 3.4. *Suppose the flow (F) exists on $[0, T)$ for some $T > 0$. Also, assume that there exists a constant C_0 depending on f^-, u_0, g_0, and M such that $\sup_M f \leqslant C_0$. Then, there exists a uniform constant $\gamma > 0$ such that*

$$\int_M e^{nu} \ d\mu_{g_0} \leqslant \gamma$$

for all $t \in [0, T)$.

Proof. Let $f^+ = \max(f, 0)$ and define

$$K = \Big\{x \in M : f(x) \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \inf_M f\Big\}.$$

Notice that $K \neq \emptyset$ due to the fact that $\inf_M f < 0$. Hence, by the continuity of f, we conclude $\mathrm{vol}(K) > 0$. Since $u_0 \in Y$, we have

$$\int_M Q_0 \ d\mu_{g_0} = -\int_M f e^{nu_0} \ d\mu_{g_0} = \int_M f^- e^{nu_0} \ d\mu_{g_0} - \int_M f^+ e^{nu_0} \ d\mu_{g_0},$$

which implies that

$$\frac{1}{(-\inf_M f)} \int_M Q_0 \ d\mu_{g_0} \leqslant \int_M e^{nu_0} \ d\mu_{g_0}.$$

From (2.3) and Young's inequality, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_M e^{nu_0} \ d\mu_{g_0} &\leqslant \mathscr{C}_A \exp\Big(\frac{n}{2(n-1)!\omega_n} \int_M u_0 \cdot \mathbf{P}_0 u_0 \ d\mu_{g_0} + \frac{n}{\mathrm{vol}(M)} \int_M u_0 \ d\mu_{g_0}\Big) \\ &\leqslant \mathscr{C}_A \exp\Big(\frac{n!\omega_n}{2\mathrm{vol}(M)}\Big) \exp\Big(\frac{n}{2(n-1)!\omega_n} \int_M [u_0 \cdot \mathbf{P}_0 u_0 + u_0^2] \ d\mu_{g_0}\Big) \qquad (3.12) \\ &\leqslant C_2 \exp\Big(C_2 \|u_0\|^2_{H^{n/2}(M,g_0)}\Big),\end{aligned}$$

where C_2 is a constant depending on M and g_0, which we may assume to be greater than 1. Therefore, we can get

$$\frac{1}{-\inf_M f} \int_M Q_0 \ d\mu_{g_0} \leqslant C_2 \exp\left(C_2 \|u_0\|^2_{H^{n/2}(M,g_0)}\right). \qquad (3.13)$$

Now choose the constant C_0 in the lemma such that

$$C_0 = \frac{-\inf_M f}{8^\alpha C_K C_2^{\alpha-1}} \cdot \exp\left(-(\alpha(C_2+1) - C_2)\|u_0\|^2_{H^{n/2}(M,g_0)}\right),$$

where $C_K > 1$ and $\alpha > 1$ are constants of Lemma 3.3. Moreover, set

$$\gamma = 2C_k(8C_2)^\alpha \exp\left((C_2+1)\alpha \|u_0\|^2_{H^{n/2}(M,g_0)}\right).$$

Then, we claim that

$$\int_M e^{nu} \ d\mu_{g_0} \leqslant \gamma, \qquad (3.14)$$

for all $t \in [0, T)$. To see this, we let

$$I = \Big\{t \in [0, T) : \int_M e^{nu(s)} \ d\mu_{g_0} \leqslant \gamma \text{ for all } s \in [0, t]\Big\}.$$

From (3.12), we have that $0 \in I$. Set $\beta = \sup I$. Suppose that $\beta < T$. Then by continuity, we have

$$\int_M e^{nu(\beta)}\, d\mu_{g_0} = \gamma. \tag{3.15}$$

By the fact that $u_0 \in Y$ and (1.18), we conclude $u(t) \in Y$ for all $t \in [0, T)$. In particular, $u(\beta) \in Y$. In the following, we split our argument into two cases.

Case 1. Suppose that

$$\int_M f^+ e^{nu(\beta)}\, d\mu_{g_0} \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\int_M f^- e^{nu(\beta)}\, d\mu_{g_0}.$$

Then the fact that $u(\beta) \in Y$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}\int_M Q_0\, d\mu_{g_0} = \int_M (-f)e^{nu(\beta)}\, d\mu_{g_0} &= \int_M f^- e^{nu(\beta)}\, d\mu_{g_0} - \int_M f^+ e^{nu(\beta)}\, d\mu_{g_0}\\ &\geqslant \frac{1}{2}\int_M f^- e^{nu(\beta)}\, d\mu_{g_0}.\end{aligned}$$

This together with the fact that $2f^- \geqslant -\inf_M f$ for all $x \in K$ yield

$$\int_K e^{nu(\beta)}\, d\mu_{g_0} \leqslant \frac{8}{-\inf_M f}\int_M Q_0\, d\mu_{g_0}. \tag{3.16}$$

Combining (3.13) and (3.16) gives

$$\int_K e^{nu(\beta)}\, d\mu_{g_0} \leqslant 8C_2 \exp\left(C_2\|u_0\|^2_{H^{n/2}(M,g_0)}\right).$$

From Lemma 3.3, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_M e^{nu(\beta)}\, d\mu_{g_0} &\leqslant C_K \exp\left(\alpha\|u_0\|^2_{H^{n/2}(M,g_0)}\right)\max\left\{\left(\int_K e^{nu(\beta)}\, d\mu_{g_0}\right)^{\alpha}, 1\right\}\\ &\leqslant C_K \exp\left(\alpha\|u_0\|^2_{H^{n/2}(M,g_0)}\right)\max\left\{(8C_2)^{\alpha}\exp\left(\alpha C_2\|u_0\|^2_{H^{n/2}(M,g_0)}\right), 1\right\}\\ &\leqslant C_K(8C_2)^{\alpha}\exp\left((C_2+1)\alpha\|u_0\|^2_{H^{n/2}(M,g_0)}\right) = \frac{\gamma}{2},\end{aligned}$$

which contradicts (3.15).

Case 2: Suppose that

$$\int_M f^+ e^{nu(\beta)}\, d\mu_{g_0} > \frac{1}{2}\int_M f^- e^{nu(\beta)}\, d\mu_{g_0}.$$

Using again the fact that $2f^- \geqslant -\inf_M f$ for all $x \in K$ and (3.15), we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\int_K e^{nu(\beta)}\, d\mu_{g_0} &\leqslant \frac{2}{-\inf_M f}\int_M f^- e^{nu(\beta)}\, d\mu_{g_0}\\ &\leqslant \frac{4}{-\inf_M f}\int_M f^+ e^{nu(\beta)}\, d\mu_{g_0} \leqslant \frac{4\gamma\sup_M f}{-\inf_M f}.\end{aligned}$$

By the choice of γ and C_0, we then have

$$\int_M e^{nu(\beta)}\, d\mu_{g_0} \leqslant 8C_2 \exp\left(C_2\|u_0\|^2_{H^{n/2}(M,g_0)}\right).$$

Thus, by arguing as above, we obtain the contradiction with (3.15) once more. Hence, the claim holds true and the lemma is proved. □

Lemma 3.5. *Suppose that the flow (F) exists on $[0, T)$. Then, there exists a uniform constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\|u\|_{H^{n/2}(M,g_0)} \leqslant C$$

for all $t \in [0, T)$.

Proof. From Lemma (3.26) and Jensen's inequality, it follows that

$$\overline{u} \leqslant \frac{1}{n} \log \frac{\int_M e^{nu} \, d\mu_{g_0}}{\mathrm{vol}(M)} \leqslant \frac{1}{n} \log \frac{\gamma}{\mathrm{vol}(M)}. \tag{3.17}$$

By Lemma 2.2, we get

$$\frac{n}{2} \int_M u \cdot \mathbf{P}_0 u \, d\mu_{g_0} + n \int_M Q_0 (u - \overline{u}) \, d\mu_{g_0} + n\overline{u} \int_M Q_0 \, d\mu_{g_0} \leqslant \mathscr{E}[u_0]. \tag{3.18}$$

Substituting (3.2) into (3.18) yields

$$\frac{n}{4} \int_M u \cdot \mathbf{P}_0 u \, d\mu_{g_0} + n\overline{u} \int_M Q_0 \, d\mu_{g_0} \leqslant \mathscr{E}[u_0] + \frac{n}{\lambda_1(\mathbf{P}_0)} \int_M Q_0^2 \, d\mu_{g_0}. \tag{3.19}$$

By (3.17) and (3.19), we have

$$\int_M u \cdot \mathbf{P}_0 u \, d\mu_{g_0} \leqslant \underbrace{-\frac{4}{n} \log \frac{\gamma}{\mathrm{vol}(M)} \int_M Q_0 \, d\mu_{g_0} + \frac{4\mathscr{E}[u_0]}{n} + \frac{4}{\lambda_1(\mathbf{P}_0)} \int_M Q_0^2 \, d\mu_{g_0}}_{=:C_3}. \tag{3.20}$$

By the Poincaré-type inequality (1.9), we have

$$\int_M (u - \overline{u})^2 \, d\mu_{g_0} \leqslant \frac{1}{\lambda_1(\mathbf{P}_0)} \int_M u \cdot \mathbf{P}_0 u \, d\mu_{g_0} \leqslant \frac{C_3}{\lambda_1(\mathbf{P}_0)}.$$

To finish the proof of the lemma, it remains to get a lower bound for $\overline{u}$. Indeed, from the positivity of $\mathbf{P}_0$, (3.19) and $\int_M Q_0 \, d\mu_{g_0} < 0$, it follows that

$$\overline{u} \geqslant \frac{(n/\lambda_1(\mathbf{P}_0)) \int_M Q_0^2 \, d\mu_{g_0} + \mathscr{E}[u_0]}{n \int_M Q_0 \, d\mu_{g_0}}.$$

We thus conclude that there exists a uniform constant $C_4 > 0$ such that

$$\int_M u^2 \, d\mu_{g_0} \leqslant C_4 \tag{3.21}$$

Combining (3.20) and (3.21) proves the assertion. □

3.4. **The case $M = \mathbb{S}^n$.** In this subsection, we let $g_0 = g_{\mathbb{S}^n}$ be the standard metric of $\mathbb{S}^n$, then it is well-known that $Q_0 \equiv (n-1)!$ everywhere. It is clear that the strict inequality $\int_M Q_0 \, d\mu_{g_0} < (n-1)!$ is crucial in Subsections (3.1)–(3.3). In this scenario, to show the uniform boundedness of u in $H^{n/2}(\mathbb{S}^n)$, we need the following concentration–compactness lemma whose proof is also provided in Appendix B.

Lemma 3.6.

(i) *Either there exists a uniform constant $C > 0$ such that $\|u(t)\|_{H^{n/2}(\mathbb{S}^n, g_{\mathbb{S}^n})} \leqslant C$ for all $t \in [0, T)$ or*

(ii) *there exists a sequence $t_k \to T$ as $k \to +\infty$ and a point $x_\infty \in \mathbb{S}^n$ satisfying for all $r > 0$*

$$\lim_{k \to +\infty} \int_{B_r(x_\infty)} f e^{nu(t_k)} \, d\mu_{\mathbb{S}^n} = (n-1)! \omega_n, \tag{3.22}$$

where $B_r(x_\infty)$ is the geodesic ball in $\mathbb{S}^n$ with radius r and centered at x_∞. In addition, there holds

$$\lim_{k \to +\infty} \int_{B_r(y)} f e^{nu(t_k)} \, d\mu_{\mathbb{S}^n} = 0, \tag{3.23}$$

for all $y \in \mathbb{S}^n \backslash \{x_\infty\}$ and all $0 \leqslant r < \mathrm{dist}(y, x_\infty)$.

With help of this lemma, we can conclude the following.

Lemma 3.7. *Suppose that the flow (F) exists on $[0,T)$. Then, there exists an uniform constant $C>0$ such that*

$$\|u\|_{H^{n/2}(\mathbb{S}^n,g_{\mathbb{S}^n})}\leqslant C,$$

for all $t\in[0,T)$.

Proof. Since u_0 is G-invariant, it is not hard to see that u is also G-invariant by the uniqueness of the solution u. Moreover, by the uniqueness of u we may assume that

$$\mathscr{E}[u(t)]<\mathscr{E}[u_0] \tag{3.24}$$

for $t>0$. Suppose, by the contradiction, u is not uniformly bounded in $H^{n/2}(\mathbb{S}^n)$ for $t\in[0,T)$. It follows from Lemma 3.6 that there exists $x_\infty\in\mathbb{S}^n$ satisfying (3.22) for all $r>0$. Now, we split our argument into two cases:

(i) The case $\Sigma=\emptyset$. Then we can find $\sigma\in G$ such that $\sigma(x_\infty)\neq x_\infty$ by $\Sigma=\emptyset$. From the fact that u is G-invariant we have

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\int_{B_r(\sigma(x_\infty))}fe^{nu(t_k)}\,d\mu_{\mathbb{S}^n}=\lim_{k\to\infty}\int_{B_r(x_\infty)}fe^{nu(t_k)}\,d\mu_{\mathbb{S}^n}=(n-1)!\omega_n,$$

which contradicts with (3.23).

(ii) The case $\Sigma\neq\emptyset$. If $x_0\notin\Sigma$, then we arrive at a contradiction in the same way as above. If $x_0\in\Sigma$, then we have for all $r>0$

$$\int_{B_r(x_\infty)}fe^{nu(t_k)}\,d\mu_{\mathbb{S}^n}\leqslant(\sup_{x\in B_r(x_\infty)}f)\int_{B_r(x_\infty)}e^{nu(t_k)}\,d\mu_{\mathbb{S}^n}\leqslant\max\Big(\sup_{x\in B_r(x_\infty)}f,0\Big)\int_{\mathbb{S}^n}e^{nu(t_k)}. \tag{3.25}$$

From Beckner's inequality and Lemma 2.2, it follows that

$$\frac{1}{\omega_n}\int_{\mathbb{S}^n}e^{nu(t_k)}\,d\mu_{\mathbb{S}^n}\leqslant\exp\Big\{\frac{\mathscr{E}[u(t_k)]}{(n-1)!\omega_n}\Big\}\leqslant\exp\Big\{\frac{\mathscr{E}[u(t_1)]}{(n-1)!\omega_n}\Big\}. \tag{3.26}$$

Plugging (3.26) into (3.25) and letting $k\to\infty$ yield

$$(n-1)!\leqslant\max\Big(\sup_{x\in B_r(x_\infty)}f,0\Big)\exp\Big\{\frac{\mathscr{E}[u(t_1)]}{(n-1)!\omega_n}\Big\},$$

Letting $r\to0$ in the inequality above gives

$$(n-1)!\leqslant\max\big(f(x_\infty),0\big)\exp\Big\{\frac{\mathscr{E}[u(t_1)]}{(n-1)!\omega_n}\Big\},$$

Hence, we have $f(x_\infty)>0$ and

$$(n-1)!\leqslant f(x_\infty)\exp\Big\{\frac{\mathscr{E}[u(t_1)]}{(n-1)!\omega_n}\Big\},$$

By (3.24), we have

$$f(x_\infty)>(n-1)!\exp\Big\{-\frac{\mathscr{E}[u_0]}{(n-1)!\omega_n}\Big\},$$

which contradicts with the hypothesis of Theorem 1.2.

The proof is complete. □

4. Boundedness of u in H^n for $0\leqslant t\leqslant T$

In order to show the global existence of the flow (F), we have to bound u in $H^n(M,g_0)$ uniformly in every fixed time interval regardless of the size of $\int_M Q_0\,d\mu_{g_0}$. As the first step, we will show that λ is uniformly bounded on the time interval that the flow exists.

Lemma 4.1. *There exists a uniform constant $\mathscr{C}_\ell > 0$ such that*

$$|\lambda(t)| \leqslant \mathscr{C}_\ell$$

for all t on the maximal existence interval.

Proof. From the definition of λ in (1.19), it suffices to bound the following two quantities $\int_M fQ\, d\mu_{g(t)}$ and $\int_M f^2\, d\mu_{g(t)}$. By the expression of Q-curvature (1.7) and the boundedness of u in $H^{n/2}(M, g_0)$ proved in the last section, we conclude that there exists a uniform constant $C_2 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\Big|\int_M fQ_{g(t)}\, d\mu_{g(t)}\Big| &= \Big|\int_M f(\mathbf{P}_0 u + Q_0)\, d\mu_{g_0}\Big| = \Big|\int_M [u\cdot \mathbf{P}_0 f + fQ_0]\, d\mu_{g_0}\Big| \\ &\leqslant \Big(\sup_M (|\mathbf{P}_0 f|)\|u\|_{H^{n/2}(M,g_0)} + \sup_M |f|\Big)\mathrm{vol}(M) \leqslant C_2.\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

This provides us a bound for $\int_M fQ_{g(t)}\, d\mu_{g(t)}$. For an estimate of $\int_M f^2\, d\mu_{g(t)}$, we let $\sigma = \int_M f^2\, d\mu_{g_0} > 0$. Then, by using Jensen's inequality and the boundedness of u in $H^{n/2}(M, g_0)$, we have that there exists a uniform constant $C_3 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_M f^2\, d\mu_{g(t)} = \int_M e^{nu} f^2\, d\mu_{g_0} &= \sigma \frac{\int_M e^{nu} f^2\, d\mu_{g_0}}{\sigma} \\ &\geqslant \sigma \exp\Big(\frac{n}{\sigma}\int_M u f^2\, d\mu_{g_0}\Big) \\ &\geqslant \sigma \exp\Big(-n(2\sigma)^{-1}\int_M [u^2 + f^4]\, d\mu_{g_0}\Big) \\ &\geqslant \sigma \exp\Big(-n(2\sigma^{-1})\Big(\|u\|^2_{H^{n/2}(M,g_0)} + \sup_M(f^4)\mathrm{vol}(M)\Big)\Big) \geqslant C_3.\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Now, the proof of lemma follows from (4.1) and (4.2). □

Now we follow the argument in [Bre03] to bound $\|u\|_{H^n(M,g_0)}$. Let T be fixed, positive real number. For the sake of brevity, we let

$$v = -e^{nu/2}(Q_{g(t)} - \lambda(t) f).$$

Then it is easy to show that

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial}{\partial t} u = e^{-nu/2} v, \\ \mathbf{P}_0 u = -e^{nu/2} v - Q_0 + e^{nu}\lambda(t) f, \\ \dfrac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathbf{P}_0 u = \mathbf{P}_0\Big(\dfrac{\partial}{\partial t} u\Big) = \mathbf{P}_0(e^{-nu/2} v). \end{cases}$$

From this it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\Big(\int_M (\mathbf{P}_0 u)^2\, d\mu_{g_0}\Big) &= 2\int_M \mathbf{P}_0 u \cdot \mathbf{P}_0(e^{-nu/2} v)\, d\mu_{g_0} \\ &= -2\int_M e^{nu/2} v \cdot \mathbf{P}_0(e^{-nu/2} v)\, d\mu_{g_0} \\ &\quad - 2\int_M Q_0 \mathbf{P}_0(e^{-nu/2} v)\, d\mu_{g_0} \\ &\quad + 2\lambda(t)\int_M e^{nu} f \mathbf{P}_0(e^{-nu/2} v)\, d\mu_{g_0}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\Big(\int_M (\mathbf{P}_0 u)^2\, d\mu_{g_0}\Big) = &-2\int_M (-\Delta_0)^{n/4}(e^{nu/2}v)(-\Delta_0)^{n/4}(e^{-nu/2}v)\, d\mu_{g_0}\\ &-2\int_M ((-\Delta_0)^{n/4}Q_0)(-\Delta_0)^{n/4}(e^{-nu/2}v)\, d\mu_{g_0}\\ &+2\lambda(t)\int_M (-\Delta_0)^{n/4}(e^{nu}f)(-\Delta_0)^{n/4}(e^{-nu/2}v)\, d\mu_{g_0}\\ &+\text{ lower order terms.}\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

Note that the right-hand side of (4.3) involves derivatives of u and v of order at most $n/2$ and the total number of derivatives is at most n. By adopting the convention that $(-\Delta_0)^{m+1/2} = \nabla_0(-\Delta_0)^m$ for all integers m and in view of Lemma 4.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\Big(\int_M (\mathbf{P}_0 u)^2\, d\mu_{g_0}\Big) \leqslant &-2\int_M ((-\Delta_0)^{n/4}v)^2\, d\mu_{g_0}\\ &+\mathscr{C}\sum_{k_1,\dots,k_m}\int_M |\nabla_0^{k_1}v|\,|\nabla_0^{k_2}v|\,|\nabla_0^{k_3}u|\cdots|\nabla^{k_m}u|\, d\mu_{g_0}\\ &+\mathscr{C}\sum_{l_1,\dots,l_m}\int_M |\nabla_0^{l_1}v|\,|\nabla_0^{l_2}u|\cdots|\nabla^{l_m}u|e^{\alpha(l_1,\dots,l_m)u}\, d\mu_{g_0}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Note that in (4.5), the first sum is taken over all m-tuples $(k_1, ..., k_m)$ with $m \geqslant 3$ satisfying $0 \leqslant k_i \leqslant n/2$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2$ and $1 \leqslant k_i \leqslant n/2$ for $3 \leqslant i \leqslant m$ with $\sum_{i=1}^m k_i \leqslant n$ and the second sum is taken over all m-tuples $(l_1, ..., l_m)$ with $m \geqslant 1$ satisfying $0 \leqslant l_1 \leqslant n/2$ and $1 \leqslant l_i \leqslant n/2$ for $2 \leqslant i \leqslant m$ with $\sum_{i=1}^m l_i \leqslant n$.

Next, we estimate the right hand side of (4.5) term by term. Following [Bre03, Section 4], we obtain the following two fundamental estimates

$$\begin{aligned}-\frac{1}{2}\int_M ((-\Delta_0)^{n/4}v)^2\, d\mu_{g_0} + \mathscr{C}\sum_{k_1,\dots,k_m}\int_M |\nabla_0^{k_1}v||\nabla_0^{k_2}v||\nabla_0^{k_3}u|\cdots|\nabla^{k_m}u|\, d\mu_{g_0}\\ \leqslant C\|v\|^2_{L^2(M,g_0)}(\|u\|^2_{H^n(M,g_0)}+1).\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}-\frac{1}{2}\int_M ((-\Delta_0)^{n/4}v)^2\, d\mu_{g_0} + \mathscr{C}\sum_{l_1,\dots,l_m}\int_M |\nabla_0^{l_1}v||\nabla_0^{l_2}w|\cdots|\nabla^{l_m}u|e^{\alpha u}\, d\mu_{g_0}\\ \leqslant C(\|v\|^2_{L^2(M,g_0)}+1)(\|u\|^2_{H^n(M,g_0)}+1).\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

Then by combining (4.6) and (4.7), we deduce that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Big(\int_M (\mathbf{P}_0 u)^2\, d\mu_{g_0}\Big) \leqslant C(\|v\|^2_{L^2(M,g_0)}+1)(\|u\|^2_{H^n(M,g_0)}+1)$$

for some constant C independent of t. Observe that

$$\|v\|^2_{L^2(M,g_0)} = 4\int_M e^{nu}(Q_{g(t)} - \lambda(t)f)^2\, d\mu_{g_0}$$

and, by the positivity of the operator $\mathbf{P}_0$, that

$$\|u\|^2_{H^n(M,g_0)} \leqslant C\int_M (\mathbf{P}_0 u)^2\, d\mu_{g_0}.$$

Hence,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Big(\int_M (\mathbf{P}_0 u)^2\, d\mu_{g_0}+1\Big) \leqslant C\Big(1+\int_M (Q_{g(t)}-\lambda(t)f)^2 d\mu_{g(t)}\Big)\Big(1+\int_M (\mathbf{P}_0 u)^2\, d\mu_{g_0}\Big),$$

which implies

$$\frac{d}{dt}\log\Big(\int_M (\mathbf{P}_0 u)^2\, d\mu_{g_0}+1\Big) \leqslant C\Big(1+\int_M (Q_{g(t)}-\lambda(t)f)^2 d\mu_{g(t)}\Big).$$

Upon integrating both sides of the preceding inequality over $[0,T]$ and using Lemma 2.2, we conclude that

$$\int_M (\mathbf{P}_0 u)^2 \, d\mu_{g_0} \leqslant C(T) \tag{4.8}$$

for all $t \in [0,T]$. Thus, combining (1.9) and (4.8) we have proved the uniform boundedness in $H^n(M,g_0)$ of the conformal factor u as shown in the following lemma.

Lemma 4.2. *For any solution u of our flow (F), there holds*

$$\sup_{0\leqslant t\leqslant T} \|u(t)\|_{H^n(M,g_0)} < +\infty.$$

Once a uniform bound in $H^n(M,g_0)$ is achieved for every fixed time interval $[0,T]$, by the Sobolev inequality it is easy to show that u is pointwise bounded along our flow in $[0,T]$. This result will then be used to prove uniformly boundedness in higher orders.

Corollary 4.3. *For any solution u of our flow (F), there exists a constant $\mathscr{C}(T)$ such that*

$$\sup_{0\leqslant t\leqslant T} |u(t)| < \mathscr{C}(T).$$

5. Boundedness of u in H^{2k} for $0 \leqslant t \leqslant T$

Once Lemma 4.2 holds, we can argue to prove that u is uniformly bounded in $H^{2k}(M,g_0)$ for any $k > 2$, which is crucial to claim that the flow exists for all time. Again, it is worth noting that the argument below does not depend on the size of $\int_M Q_0 \, d\mu_{g_0}$. To realize this, we follow the argument in [Bre03].

In view of (1.15), to estimate H^{2k}-norm, we need to estimate $\int_M |(-\Delta_0)^k u|^2 \, d\mu_{g_0}$. Using our flow equation $\partial_t u(t) = \lambda(t) f - Q_{g(t)}$, for some constant $\mathscr{C} > 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}\left(\int_M |(-\Delta_0)^k u|^2 \, d\mu_{g_0}\right) =& 2\int_M (-\Delta_0)^k u (-\Delta_0)^k (\partial_t u)\, d\mu_{g_0} \\
=& -2\int_M ((-\Delta_0)^k u)(-\Delta_0)^k (e^{-nu}\mathbf{P}_0 u)\, d\mu_{g_0} \\
& -2\int_M ((-\Delta_0)^k u)(-\Delta_0)^k (e^{-nu} Q_0)\, d\mu_{g_0} \\
& +2\lambda(t)\int_M ((-\Delta_0)^k u)(-\Delta_0)^k f \, d\mu_{g_0} \\
\leqslant& -2\int_M e^{-nu}\left|(-\Delta_0)^{k+n/4} u\right|^2 d\mu_{g_0} \\
& +\mathscr{C}\sum_{k_1,\dots,k_m}\int_M |\nabla^{k_1} u|\cdots|\nabla^{k_m} u|\, d\mu_{g_0}
\end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

for all $t \geqslant 0$. Here the sum is taken for all tuples $(k_1,\dots,k_m)$ with $m \geqslant 3$ satisfying $1 \leqslant k_i \leqslant 2k + n/2$ and $\sum_{i=1}^m k_i \leqslant 4k + n$. We now choose real numbers $p_i \in [2,+\infty)$ such that $k_i \leqslant 2k + n/p_i$ and $\sum_{i=1}^m 1/p_i = 1$. Then we set

$$\theta_i = \max\left\{\frac{k_i - n/p_i - n/2}{2k - n/2}, 0\right\}$$

for each $i = 1,..,m$. Since $m \geqslant 3$, we immediately have $\sum_{i=1}^m \theta_i < 2$. Now we estimate (5.1) First, in view of Corollary 4.3, we can further estimate the left hand side of (5.1) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}\left(\int_M |(-\Delta_0)^k u|^2 \, d\mu_{g_0}\right) \leqslant& -\mathscr{C}\int_M |(-\Delta_0)^{k+n/4} u|^2 \, d\mu_{g_0} \\
& +\mathscr{C}\sum_{k_1,\dots,k_m}\int_M |\nabla^{k_1} u|\cdots|\nabla^{k_m} u|\, d\mu_{g_0}
\end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

for some new constant $\mathscr{C}$. By repeating using (5.2) for suitable k and upon using definition of H^{2k}-norm in (1.15) and Hölder's inequality, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\|u\|^2_{H^{2k}(M,g_0)} \leqslant &-\mathscr{C}\|u\|^2_{H^{2k+n/2}(M,g_0)}\\ &+\mathscr{C}\sum_{k_1,\dots,k_m}\|\nabla^{k_1}u\|_{L^{p_1}(M,g_0)}\cdots\|\nabla^{k_m}u\|_{L^{p_m}(M,g_0)}\end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

for some another new constant $\mathscr{C}$. Thanks to (2.1), we obtain the estimate

$$\|\nabla^{k_i}u\|_{L^{p_i}(M,g_0)} \leqslant \mathscr{C}\|u\|_{H^{k_i-n/p_i+n/2}(M,g_0)}.$$

Again, we apply (2.1) to obtain

$$\|u\|_{H^{k_i-n/p_i+n/2}(M,g_0)} \leqslant \mathscr{C}\|u\|^{1-\theta_i}_{H^n(M,g_0)}\|u\|^{\theta_i}_{H^{2k+n/2}(M,g_0)}.$$

Combining the last two estimates above and thanks to the boundedness of u in $H^n(M,g_0)$, we get

$$\|\nabla^{k_i}u\|_{L^{p_i}(M,g_0)} \leqslant \mathscr{C}\|u\|^{\theta_i}_{H^{2k+n/2}(M,g_0)}.$$

Using this and (5.3), we know that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|u\|^2_{H^{2k}(M,g_0)} \leqslant -\mathscr{C}\|u\|^2_{H^{2k+n/2}(M,g_0)} + \mathscr{C}\sum_{k_1,\dots,k_m}\|u\|^{\theta_1+\cdots\theta_m}_{H^{2k+n/2}(M,g_0)}. \tag{5.4}$$

Thanks to $\sum_{i=1}^m \theta_i < 2$, we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|u\|^2_{H^{2k}(M,g_0)} \leqslant -\mathscr{C}\|u\|^2_{H^{2k+n/2}(M,g_0)} + \mathscr{C} \leqslant -\mathscr{C}\|u\|^2_{H^{2k}(M,g_0)} + \mathscr{C},$$

where in the last estimate, we have used the embedding $H^{2k+1}(M,g_0) \hookrightarrow H^{2k}(M,g_0)$. Upon integrating and by standard tricks, it is easy to show that

$$\|u\|_{H^{2k}(M,g_0)} \leqslant \mathscr{C}_H(k)$$

for some constant $\mathscr{C}_H(k)$ as claimed. Thus, we have just finished proving the following lemma.

Lemma 5.1. *For any solution u of our flow (F), there exists a constant $\mathscr{C}_H(k,T)$ depending on k and T such that*

$$\sup_{0\leqslant t\leqslant T}\|u(t)\|_{H^{2k}(M,g_0)} < \mathscr{C}_H(k,T)$$

for any $k > 1$.

As an immediate consequence of Lemma 5.1 above, we conclude that the flow (F) exists for all time t.

Corollary 5.2. *For any initial data u_0, the flow (F) exists for all time t.*

As a by-product, we, in fact, have the following corollary.

Corollary 5.3. *Suppose that u is a solution of the flow (F), then there exists an universal constant $C > 0$ such that for all $t \geqslant 0$*

(i) $\displaystyle\int_M e^{\alpha u}\, d\mu_{g_0} \leqslant C$ *for all real number α and*

(ii) $\displaystyle\int_0^{+\infty}\int_M (\lambda(t)f - Q_{g(t)})^2\, d\mu_{g_0}dt \leqslant C.$

Proof. Clearly, part (i) follows from Trudinger's inequality (2.3) and the fact that $\|u\|_{H^{n/2}(M,g_0)} \leqslant C$, while part (ii) follows from Lemma 2.2 and the fact that $\mathscr{E}[u]$ is uniformly bounded from below. □

6. Convergence

For brevity, we set

$$F_2(t) = \int_M (Q_{g(t)} - \lambda(t)f)^2 \, d\mu_{g(t)}.$$

In the following, we improve Corollary 5.3(2). Let $1 \gg \varepsilon > 0$ be an arbitrary positive number and choose $t_0 \geqslant 0$ in such a way that $F_2(t_0) \leqslant \varepsilon$. We shall show that $F_2(t) \leqslant 3\varepsilon$ for all $t \geqslant t_0$. By way of contradiction, there is a finite number t_1 such that

$$t_1 := \inf\{t \geqslant t_0 : F_2(t) \geqslant 3\varepsilon\}.$$

Writing $|Q_{g(t)}|^2 \leqslant 2|Q_{g(t)} - \lambda(t)f|^2 + 2|\lambda(t)|^2 f^2$ then using (1.7) and Lemma 4.1, we deduce that

$$\int_M e^{-nu}(Q_0 + \mathbf{P}_0 u)^2 \, d\mu_{g_0} \leqslant C$$

for all $t_0 \leqslant t \leqslant t_1$ where the constant C is independent of t_0 and t_1. It follows from Corollary 5.3 that

$$\int_M e^{3nu} \, d\mu_{g_0} \leqslant C$$

for all $t \geqslant 0$. Therefore, by Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$\int_M |Q_0 + \mathbf{P}_0 u|^{3/2} \, d\mu_{g_0} \leqslant \Big(\int_M e^{-nu}(Q_0 + \mathbf{P}_0 u)^2 \, d\mu_{g_0} \Big)^{3/4} \Big(\int_M e^{3nu} \, d\mu_{g_0} \Big)^{1/4}.$$

From this it follows that

$$\int_M |\mathbf{P}_0 u|^{3/2} \, d\mu_{g_0} \leqslant C$$

for all $t_0 \leqslant t \leqslant t_1$. Using Sobolev's inequality, we obtain

$$|u| \leqslant C$$

for all $t_0 \leqslant t \leqslant t_1$ where the constant C is independent of t_0 and t_1. Using Lemma 2.1, we deduce that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(Q_{g(t)} - \lambda(t)f) = -\mathbf{P}_{g(t)}(Q_{g(t)} - \lambda(t)f) + nQ_{g(t)}(Q_{g(t)} - \lambda(t)f) + \lambda'(t)f.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}F_2(t) =& 2\int_M (Q_{g(t)} - \lambda(t)f)\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(Q_{g(t)} - \lambda(t)f)d\mu_{g(t)} + n\int_M (\lambda(t)f - Q_{g(t)})^3 d\mu_{g(t)} \\
=& -2\int_M (Q_{g(t)} - \lambda(t)f)\mathbf{P}_{g(t)}(Q_{g(t)} - \lambda(t)f)d\mu_{g(t)} \\
&+ 2n\int_M (Q_{g(t)} - \lambda(t)f)Q_{g(t)}(Q_{g(t)} - \lambda(t)f)d\mu_{g(t)} \\
&+ 2\lambda'(t)\int_M f(Q_{g(t)} - \lambda(t)f)d\mu_{g(t)} + n\int_M (\lambda(t)f - Q_{g(t)})^3 d\mu_{g(t)} \\
=& -2\int_M (Q_{g(t)} - \lambda(t)f)\mathbf{P}_{g(t)}(Q_{g(t)} - \lambda(t)f)d\mu_{g(t)} \\
&+ 2n\lambda(t)\int_M f(Q_{g(t)} - \lambda(t)f)^2 d\mu_{g(t)} + n\int_M (Q_{g(t)} - \lambda(t)f)^3 d\mu_{g(t)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we have used (1.18) to drop off the term involving $\lambda'(t)$. Next we apply Gagliardo–Nirenberg's interpolation inequality (2.1) to get

$$\int_M \big|\lambda(t)f - Q_{g(t)}\big|^3 d\mu_{g(t)} \leqslant C\Big(\int_M (\lambda(t)f - Q_{g(t)})^2 d\mu_{g(t)}\Big) \|\lambda(t)f - Q_{g(t)}\|_{H^{n/2}(M,g(t))}$$

where the norm is taken with respect to $g(t)$, thanks to the uniform boundedness of u on $[t_0, t_1]$. From this, we easily get

$$\begin{aligned}\int_M \left|\lambda(t)f - Q_{g(t)}\right|^3 d\mu_{g(t)} \leqslant & C\Big(\int_M (\lambda(t)f - Q_{g(t)})^2 d\mu_{g(t)}\Big) \\ & \times \Big(\int_M (Q_{g(t)} - \lambda(t)f)\mathbf{P}_{g(t)}(Q_{g(t)} - \lambda(t)f)d\mu_{g(t)}\Big)^{1/2} \\ & + C\Big(\int_M (\lambda(t)f - Q_{g(t)})^2 d\mu_{g(t)}\Big)^{3/2}\end{aligned}$$

for some constant C independent of t. Using Young's inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\int_M \left|\lambda(t)f - Q_{g(t)}\right|^3 d\mu_{g_0} \leqslant & C\Big(\int_M (\lambda(t)f - Q_{g(t)})^2 d\mu_{g_0}\Big)^2 \\ & + \frac{1}{n}\int_M (Q_{g(t)} - \lambda(t)f)\mathbf{P}_{g(t)}(Q_{g(t)} - \lambda(t)f)d\mu_{g(t)} \\ & + C\Big(\int_M (\lambda(t)f - Q_{g(t)})^2 d\mu_{g(t)}\Big)^{3/2}\end{aligned}$$

for some new constant C independent of t. Thus, we have just shown that

$$\frac{d}{dt}F_2(t) \leqslant CF_2(t)^2 + CF_2(t)^{3/2} + CF_2(t)$$

for all $t \in [t_0, t_1]$. Since $\varepsilon \ll 1$, the preceding inequality gives $F_2'(t) \leqslant CF_2(t)$ for all $t \in [t_0, t_1]$. Integrating both sides of $F_2'(t) \leqslant CF_2(t)$ over $[t_0, t_1]$, we deduce that

$$2\varepsilon \leqslant F_2(t_1) - F_2(t_0) \leqslant C\int_{t_0}^{t_1} F_2(t)dt.$$

Now by Corollary 5.3, we can select $t_0 \gg 1$ in such a way that $C\int_{t_0}^{+\infty} F_2(t)dt < \varepsilon$ which results in a contradiction. Thus we have just finished proving the following lemma.

Lemma 6.1. *We have*

$$\int_M (Q_{g(t)} - \lambda(t)f)^2 \, d\mu_{g(t)} \searrow 0$$

as $t \to +\infty$.

Using Lemma 6.1 we know that there exists some constant $C > 0$ such that

$$F_2(t) \leqslant C$$

for all $t \geqslant 0$. From this and Lemma 4.1, we deduce that

$$\int_M Q_{g(t)}^2 d\mu_{g(t)} \leqslant C$$

for all $t \geqslant 0$ for some new constant $C > 0$. Equivalently, there holds

$$\int_M e^{-2nu}(Q_0 + \mathbf{P}_0 u)^2 \, d\mu_{g_0} \leqslant C$$

for all $t \geqslant 0$. In other words, we know that

$$\int_M |\mathbf{P}_0 u|^{3/2} \, d\mu_{g_0} \leqslant C$$

for all $t \geqslant 0$. By Sobolev's inequality, we obtain

$$|u| \leqslant C \tag{6.1}$$

for all $t \geqslant 0$. As a consequence of (6.1), we deduce that

$$\int_M (Q_0 + \mathbf{P}_0 u)^2 \, d\mu_{g_0} \leqslant C$$

for all $t \geqslant 0$. Hence, we have just improved Lemma 4.2 as follows.

Lemma 6.2. *For any solution u of our flow (F), there holds*

$$\sup_t \|u(t)\|_{H^n(M,g_0)} < +\infty.$$

Repeating all arguments in Section 5, we conclude the following.

Lemma 6.3. *For any solution u of our flow (F), there exists a constant $\mathscr{C}_H(k)$ depending on k such that*

$$\sup_t \|u(t)\|_{H^{2k}(M,g_0)} < \mathscr{C}_H(k)$$

for any $k > 1$.

Since we can bound $u(t)$ in $H^{2k}(M, g_0)$ uniformly in t for any $k > 1$, we can easily obtain the convergence of u as $t \to +\infty$ in C^∞-topology. To be precise, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 6.4. *There exists some function u_∞ such that $u(t)$ converges to u_∞ in C^∞-topology as $t \to +\infty$.*

Proof. From Lemma 6.3 and Sobolev embedding theorem, it follows that

$$\|u - u_\infty\|_{L^2(M,g_0)} \to 0 \quad \text{as } t \to \infty.$$

By Gagliardo-Nirenberg's inequality (2.1), we have

$$\|u - u_\infty\|_{H^k(M,g_0)} \leqslant C\|u - u_\infty\|^{1/2}_{H^{2k}(M,g_0)}\|u - u_\infty\|^{1/2}_{L^2(M,g_0)},$$

for all $k \geqslant 0$. Since we have uniformly bounded u in $H^{2k}(M, g_0)$, we conclude that

$$\|u - u_\infty\|_{H^k(M,g_0)} \to 0,$$

for all $k \geqslant 0$. Hence, the assertion is proved. □

In the following result, we investigate the order of the convergence $u(t) \to u_\infty$. Our main tool is the well-known Łojasiewicz–Simon inequality for Banach spaces, see [Chi03, Theorem 3.10] for details; see also [CCR15, Section 3] for further discussion on Łojasiewicz–Simon's inequality for abstract settings.

First, we recall the following definition. Let X and Y be two Banach spaces and let $U \subset X$ be open. Recall from [Zei90, Definition 8.8] that a function $T : U \to Y$ is called *analytic at a point* $x_0 \in U$ if there exists some $r > 0$ and a sequence of k-bounded linear operator $T_k : X^k \to Y$ such that for every $x \in U$ with $\|x - x_0\| \leqslant r$, there hold

$$\sum_{k\geqslant 0} \|T_k\| \, \|x - x_0\|^k < +\infty$$

and

$$T(x) = \sum_{k\geqslant 0} T_k(\underbrace{x - x_0, ..., x - x_0}_{k \text{ times}}).$$

The function T is called analytic in U if it is analytic at every point $x \in U$. Based on this definition, we easily obtain the analyticity of $\mathscr{E}$, which is crucial throughout this work.

Lemma 6.5. *Fix a metric g_0, the functional $\mathscr{E}$ given by (1.12) is an analytic functional on $\{u \in C^n(M, g_0)\}$ in the sense above.*

Proof. Set $X = C^n(M, g_0)$ and for any $u_0 \in X$ we define

$$T_k(u_1, ..., u_k) = \begin{cases} (n/2)\int_M u_0 \mathbf{P}_0 u_0 \, d\mu_{g_0} + n\int_M Q_0 u_0 \, d\mu_{g_0} & k = 0 \\ n\int_M (\mathbf{P}_0 u_0 + Q_0)u_1 \, d\mu_{g_0} & k = 1, \\ (n/2)\int_M u_1 \cdot \mathbf{P}_0 u_2 \, d\mu_{g_0} & k = 2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then it is obivous that $T_k : X^k \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is a k-bounded linear operator for all $k \geqslant 0$ and satisfies the conditions in the definition above. This completes our proof. □

Lemma 6.6. *There are $\theta \in (0, 1/2]$, $\varepsilon > 0$, and $C > 0$ only depending on n and g_∞ such that for any $u \in C^{2,\alpha}(M, g_\infty)$ with $\|u - u_\infty\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(M,g_0)} < \varepsilon$, then*

$$\|D\mathscr{E}[u]\|_{L^2(M,g_0)} \geqslant C(\mathscr{E}[u] - \mathscr{E}[u_\infty])^{1-\theta}.$$

Proof. This is just an application of [Chi03, Corollary 3.11] if we work with $V = C^{2,\alpha}(M, g_0)$ and $W = L^2(M, g_0)$; see also [CCR15, Proposition 13]. □

Proposition 6.7. *There exist constants $\mathscr{C}, \gamma > 0$ such that either the convergence is exponentially fast in the sense that*

$$\|u(t) - u_\infty\|_{C^\infty(M,g_0)} \leqslant \mathscr{C} e^{-\mathscr{C}t},$$

or at a polynomial rate in the sense that

$$\|u(t) - u_\infty\|_{C^\infty(M,g_0)} \leqslant \mathscr{C}(1 + t)^{-\gamma}.$$

Proof. First, we establish the convergence $u(t) \to u_\infty$ in L^2-topology. Indeed, using $u(t) - u_\infty = -\int_t^\infty \partial_s u ds$ and the Minkowski inequality in integral form for the function $\partial_s u$, we can estimate

$$\|u(t) - u_\infty\|_{L^2(M,g_0)} \leqslant \int_t^\infty \left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial s} u\right\|_{L^2(M,g_0)} ds. \tag{6.2}$$

Note that by Lemma 2.2

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\mathscr{E}[u(t)] - \mathscr{E}[u_\infty]) = -n \int_M (\lambda(t) f - Q_{g(t)})^2 e^{nu} \, d\mu_{g_0}$$

and as an element of $L^2(M, g_0)$ (also the dual space $(L^2(M, g_0))'$) we identify $D\mathscr{E}[u(t)]$ as

$$D\mathscr{E}[u(t)] = (\lambda(t) f - Q_{g(t)}) e^{nu(t)/2}.$$

Then, by fixing some $N > 0$ large enough such that $\|u(t) - u_\infty\|_{C^0(M,g_0)} \leqslant 1/2$, we can use Łojasiewicz–Simon's gradient inequality in Lemma 6.6 to estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}(\mathscr{E}[u(t)] - \mathscr{E}[u_\infty]) &= -n\|D\mathscr{E}[u(t)]\|^2_{L^2(M,g_0)} \\ &\leqslant -C(\mathscr{E}[u(t)] - \mathscr{E}[u_\infty])^{2-2\theta} \end{aligned}$$

for some $\theta \in (0, 1/2]$ and some constant $C > 0$ and $t \geqslant N$. From this, we obtain

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{d}{dt} \log(\mathscr{E}[u(t)] - \mathscr{E}[u_\infty]) \leqslant -C, & \text{if } \theta = 1/2, \\ \dfrac{d}{dt}(\mathscr{E}[u(t)] - \mathscr{E}[u_\infty])^{2\theta-1} \geqslant C(1 - 2\theta), & \text{if } 0 < \theta < 1/2, \end{cases}$$

for large t. Hence, by integrating the preceding inequality over the interval $[N, t]$, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathscr{E}[u(t)] - \mathscr{E}(u_\infty) \\ &\quad \leqslant \begin{cases} [\mathscr{E}[u(N)] - \mathscr{E}[u_\infty]] e^{-Ct} \leqslant Ce^{-Ct} & \text{if } \theta = 1/2, \\ [(\mathscr{E}[u(N)] - \mathscr{E}[u_\infty])^{2\theta-1} + C(1 - 2\theta)(t - N)]^{\frac{1}{2\theta-1}} \leqslant Ct^{-\frac{1}{1-2\theta}} & \text{if } 0 < \theta < 1/2. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

Thanks to $\|u(t)-u_\infty\|_{C^0(M,g_0)}\leqslant 1/2$ for all $t\geqslant N$, we can estimate as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}(\mathscr{E}[u(t)]-\mathscr{E}(u_\infty))^\theta =&\theta(\mathscr{E}[u(t)]-\mathscr{E}(u_\infty))^{\theta-1}\frac{d}{dt}(\mathscr{E}[u(t)]-\mathscr{E}(u_\infty))\\ \leqslant&-n\theta(\mathscr{E}[u(t)]-\mathscr{E}(u_\infty))^{\theta-1}\|D\mathscr{E}[u(t)]\|^2_{L^2(M,g_0)}\\ \leqslant&-C\|D\mathscr{E}[u(t)]\|_{L^2(M,g_0)}\\ =&-C\Big(\int_M(\lambda(t)f-Q_{g(t)})^2e^{nu(t)}\,d\mu_{g_0}\Big)^{1/2}\\ \leqslant&-C\Big(\int_M(\lambda(t)f-Q_{g(t)})^2\,d\mu_{g_0}\Big)^{1/2}\\ =&-C\Big\|\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\Big\|_{L^2(M,g_0)}\end{aligned}\tag{6.4}$$

for all $t\geqslant N$. Combining (6.2) and (6.4) gives

$$\begin{aligned}\|u(t)-u_\infty\|_{L^2(M,g_0)}\leqslant&-C\int_t^\infty\Big(\frac{d}{ds}(\mathscr{E}[u(t)]-\mathscr{E}(u_\infty))^\theta\Big)ds\\ \leqslant&C(\mathscr{E}[u(t)]-\mathscr{E}(u_\infty))^\theta\\ \leqslant&\begin{cases}Ce^{-Ct}, & \text{if } \theta=1/2,\\ C(1+t)^{-\theta/(1-2\theta)}, & \text{if } 0<\theta<1/2.\end{cases}\end{aligned}\tag{6.5}$$

thanks to (6.3). Now, by using Gagliardo–Nirenberg's inequality (2.1) and Lemma 6.3, we conclude that

$$\|u(t)-u_\infty\|_{H^k(M,g_0)}\leqslant C\|u(t)-u_\infty\|^{1/2}_{L^2(M,g_0)}$$

for all $k\geqslant 0$. We thus obtain the desired result with $\gamma=\theta/2(1-2\theta)>0$ for $0<\theta<1/2$. □

7. Proofs of Theorems 1.1, 1.2 and Corollary 1.3

7.1. **Proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.** In view of the Corollary 6.4 and Proposition 6.7, we are only left to show that the time metric g converges to a metric g_∞ with the Q-curvature $Q_\infty=\lambda_\infty f$ in C^∞-topology where λ_∞ has the said property as in the theorem. To see this, we let $g_\infty=e^{2u_\infty}g_0$. Then by (1.7) its Q-curvature is given by

$$Q_\infty=e^{-nu_\infty}(\mathbf{P}_0u_\infty+Q_0).$$

By Corollary 6.4, for arbitrary $k\geqslant 0$ but fixed we have

$$\|Q-Q_\infty\|_{H^k(M,g_0)}\to 0$$

as $t\to\infty$. Now, if we set

$$\lambda_\infty=\Big(\int_M f^2\,d\mu_{g_\infty}\Big)^{-1}\int_M fQ_\infty\,d\mu_{g_\infty},$$

then

$$\lambda-\lambda_\infty\to 0$$

as $t\to\infty$. On the other hand, since u is uniformly bounded in $H^m(M,g_0)$ for all $m\geqslant 0$, we conclude that $Q-\lambda f$ is also uniformly bounded in $H^m(M,g_0)$ for all $m\geqslant 0$. From this fact, Gagliardo-Nirenberg's inequality (2.1), and Lemma 6.1, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\|Q-\lambda_\infty f\|_{H^k(M,g_0)}\leqslant&\|Q-\lambda f\|_{H^k(M,g_0)}+|\lambda-\lambda_\infty|\,\|f\|_{H^k(M,g_0)}\\ \leqslant&\|Q-\lambda f\|^{1/2}_{H^{2k}(M,g_0)}\|Q-\lambda f\|^{1/2}_{L^2(M,g_0)}+|\lambda-\lambda_\infty|\,\|f\|_{H^k(M,g_0)}\\ \leqslant&C\Big(\|Q-\lambda f\|^{1/2}_{L^2(M,g_0)}+|\lambda-\lambda_\infty|\Big)\to 0,\end{aligned}$$

for all $k\geqslant 0$ as $t\to\infty$. Hence, we have

$$Q_\infty=\lambda_\infty f.$$

Finally, if $\int_M Q_0\ d\mu_{g_0} \neq 0$, then from the equality above, it follows from (1.7) that

$$\mathbf{P}_0 u_\infty + Q_0 = \lambda_\infty f e^{nu_\infty}. \tag{7.1}$$

By integrating both sides of (7.1) and using the fact that $\int_M f e^{nu_\infty}\ d\mu_{g_0} = \int_M Q_0\ d\mu_{g_0}$, we conclude that $\lambda_\infty = 1$. As for the behavior of the convergence is given by Proposition 6.7 and Corollary 7.2 below. □

7.2. **Proof of Corollary 1.3.** Clearly, parts (i) and (iii) are straightforward. As for (ii), by making a conformal change of g_0, we may assume that $Q_0 \equiv 0$. Then Eq.(7.1) becomes

$$\mathbf{P}_0 u_\infty = \lambda_\infty f e^{nu_\infty}.$$

Assume that $\lambda_\infty = 0$, then we get that $\mathbf{P}_0 u_\infty = 0$ which follows from the assumption of the GJMS operator $\mathbf{P}_0$ that $u_\infty \equiv C$. Thus

$$0 \neq e^C \int_M f\ d\mu_{g_0} = \int_M f e^{nu_\infty}\ d\mu_{g_0} = \int_M Q_0\ d\mu_{g_0} = 0,$$

which is a contradiction. Hence, there holds $\lambda_\infty \neq 0$. Now, if $\lambda_\infty > 0$, then

$$v_\infty = u_\infty + \log \lambda_\infty$$

satisfies $\mathbf{P}_0 v_\infty = f e^{nv_\infty}$; while if $\lambda_\infty < 0$, then

$$v_\infty = u_\infty + \log(-\lambda_\infty)$$

satisfies $\mathbf{P}_0 v_\infty = -f e^{nv_\infty}$. Thus, for either case, the metric $g_* = e^{2v_\infty} g_0$ is our desired.

Now we show (iv) in the corollary. If $\Sigma = \emptyset$, then the conclusion follows immediately form Theorem 1.2. Assume that $\Sigma \neq \emptyset$ and let f satisfies the inequality (1.21). Then we consider the two cases: (a1) If $\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} f \circ \phi_{y_0,r_0}\ d\mu_{\mathbb{S}^n} \leqslant 0$, the condition (b) in Theorem 1.2 obviously holds true and hence the conclusion follows; (a2) if $\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} f \circ \phi_{y_0,r_0}\ d\mu_{\mathbb{S}^n} > 0$, we set $u_0 = \frac{1}{n}\log(\det d\phi^{-1}_{y_0,r_0}) + C$, where C is chosen to satisfy

$$e^{nC} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} f \circ \phi_{y_0,r_0}\ d\mu_{\mathbb{S}^n} = (n-1)!\omega_n.$$

With this choice of C, we conclude that $u_0 \in Y$. Since $y_0 \in \Sigma$, it is not difficult to see that u_0 is G-invariant. Moreover, by the well-known fact that $\mathscr{E}[1/n \log(\det d\phi^{-1}_{y_0,r_0})] = 0$, we have $\mathscr{E}[u_0] = n!\omega_n C$. From this, it follows that

$$\sup_{x\in\Sigma} f(x) \leqslant \fint_{S^n} f \circ \phi_{y_0,r_0}\ d\mu_{\mathbb{S}^n} = (n-1)!\exp\{-nC\} = (n-1)!\exp\Big\{-\frac{\mathscr{E}[u_0]}{(n-1)!\omega_n}\Big\}.$$

Thus condition (b) in Theorem 1.2 holds true and the conclusion follows. □

7.3. **Exponential convergence of the flow (F).** In view of Proposition 6.7, the flow (F) converges to its limit u_∞ slowly in C^∞-topology. Inspired by a recent work due to Struwe [Str16], in this subsection, we provide a sufficient condition to indicate whether we have exponential convergence of (F). We prove the following result.

Theorem 7.1. *Suppose that u_∞ is a strict relative minimizer of $\mathscr{E}$ in the sense that for some constant $c_0 > 0$ there holds*

$$\delta^2\mathscr{L}(u_\infty)(h,h) = n\int_M \Big(h\cdot \mathbf{P}_0 h - n\lambda_\infty f e^{nu_\infty} h^2\Big)\, d\mu_{g_0} \geqslant 2c_0\|h\|^2_{H^{n/2}(M,g_0)} \tag{7.2}$$

for all $h \in T_{u_\infty}Y$ where

$$T_{u_\infty}Y = \Big\{h \in H^{n/2}(M,g_0) : \int_M f h\, d\mu_{g_0} = 0\Big\}$$

and where

$$\mathscr{L}(u) = \mathscr{E}[u] - \lambda_\infty \int_M f e^{nu}\, d\mu_{g_0}$$

is the Lagrange functional associated with u_∞. Then $u(t) \to u_\infty$ and $\lambda(t) \to \lambda_\infty$ exponentially fast as $t \to \infty$ in the following sense: With a constant C depending only on the initial data u_0, there holds

$$\|Q_{g(t)} - \lambda(t) f\|_{L^2(M,g(t))} \leqslant Ce^{-c_0 t} \tag{7.3}$$

and hence

$$|\lambda(t) - \lambda_\infty| + \|u(t) - u_\infty\|_{H^{n/2}(M,g_0)} \leqslant Ce^{-c_0 t}. \tag{7.4}$$

Proof. Recall that we keep using the notation $F_2(t) = \int_M (Q_{g(t)} - \lambda(t) f)^2 \, d\mu_{g(t)}$ in this proof. For clarity, we divide our proof into three steps as follows.

Step 1. For arbitrary $\rho > 0$ but fixed, it is easy to see that the following estimate

$$|\lambda(t) - \lambda_\infty| + \|u(t) - u_\infty\|_{H^{n/2}(M,g_0)} \leqslant 2\rho \tag{7.5}$$

holds for all large $t > 0$, say $t \geqslant t_0$. The proof is obvious since $\lambda(t) \to \lambda_\infty$ and $u(t) \to u_\infty$ in $H^{n/2}(M, g_0)$ as $t \to \infty$.

Step 2. Suppose that (7.2) holds. In this step, we show that if for some $\rho > 0$ and if the flow satisfies (7.5) for all $t \geqslant t_0$, then it converges exponentially fast. Indeed, we first recall from Section 6 that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}F_2(t) = &- \int_M (Q_{g(t)} - \lambda(t) f)\mathbf{P}_{g(t)}(Q_{g(t)} - \lambda(t) f) d\mu_{g(t)} \\ &+ n\lambda(t) \int_M f(Q_{g(t)} - \lambda(t) f)^2 d\mu_{g(t)} + \frac{n}{2}\int_M (Q_{g(t)} - \lambda(t) f)^3 d\mu_{g(t)}.\end{aligned}$$

By Hölder's inequality, the equivalence between $g(t)$ and g_0, and the embedding $H^{n/2}(M, g_0) \hookrightarrow L^4(M, g_0)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_M \left|Q_{g(t)} - \lambda(t) f\right|^3 d\mu_{g(t)} \leqslant &\overbrace{\Big(\int_M \left|Q_{g(t)} - \lambda(t) f\right|^2 d\mu_{g(t)}\Big)^{1/2}}^{=o(1)} \Big(\int_M \left|Q_{g(t)} - \lambda(t) f\right|^4 d\mu_{g(t)}\Big)^{1/2} \\ \leqslant &o(1) \int_M (Q_{g(t)} - \lambda(t) f)\mathbf{P}_{g(t)}(Q_{g(t)} - \lambda(t) f) d\mu_{g(t)} \\ &+ o(1) \int_M (Q_{g(t)} - \lambda(t) f)^2 d\mu_{g(t)}\end{aligned}$$

with error $o(1) \to 0$ as time goes to infinity. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}F_2(t) \leqslant &- (1 - o(1)) \int_M (Q_{g(t)} - \lambda(t) f)\mathbf{P}_{g(t)}(Q_{g(t)} - \lambda(t) f) d\mu_{g(t)} \\ &+ n\lambda(t) \int_M f(Q_{g(t)} - \lambda(t) f)^2 d\mu_{g(t)} + o(1) \int_M (Q_{g(t)} - \lambda(t) f)^2 d\mu_{g(t)}.\end{aligned}$$

Thanks to (1.18), we can apply (7.2) with h replaced by $Q_{g(t)} - \lambda(t) f$ for large t in the range indicated by Step 1 to get

$$\begin{aligned}-\Big(1 - \frac{2c_0}{n}\Big) &\int_M (Q_{g(t)} - \lambda(t) f) \cdot \mathbf{P}_0(Q_{g(t)} - \lambda(t) f) \, d\mu_{g_0} \\ &+ n\lambda_\infty \int_M f e^{nu_\infty} (Q_{g(t)} - \lambda(t) f)^2 \, d\mu_{g_0} \leqslant -\frac{2c_0}{n} \int_M (Q_{g(t)} - \lambda(t) f)^2 \, d\mu_{g_0}.\end{aligned} \tag{7.6}$$

Notice that there exists some $\rho > 0$ depending on u_0 such that (7.5) implies

$$n(\lambda(t) - \lambda_\infty) \int_M f(e^{nu(t)} - e^{nu_\infty})(Q_{g(t)} - \lambda(t) f)^2 \, d\mu_{g_0} \leqslant \frac{c_0}{2n} \int_M (Q_{g(t)} - \lambda(t) f)^2 \, d\mu_{g_0}$$

for all t. (The existence of ρ basically follows from the uniform bound of u which depends only on u_0; see Lemma 6.3.) Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}F_2(t) \leqslant -\frac{c_0}{n}F_2(t) \tag{7.7}$$

for all large t. Hence we find that

$$F_2(t) \leqslant F_2(t_0)\exp(-2c_0(t-t_0)) \tag{7.8}$$

for all large t. Thus, the flow converges in L^2-topology exponentially fast as claimed in (7.3).

Step 3. In this final step, we control $|\lambda(t)-\lambda_\infty|$ and $\|u(t)-u_\infty\|_{H^{n/2}(M,g_0)}$. Indeed, from Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$\lambda'(t) = \Big(\int_M f^2\, d\mu_{g(t)}\Big)^{-1}\Big[\int_M (\mathbf{P}_{g(t)}f)u_t\, d\mu_{g(t)} + n\int_M \lambda(t)f^2(Q_{g(t)}-\lambda(t)f)\, d\mu_{g(t)}\Big].$$

The term $\int_M(\mathbf{P}_{g(t)}f)u_t\, d\mu_{g(t)}$ can be estimated as the following

$$\Big|\int_M (\mathbf{P}_{g(t)}f)u_t\, d\mu_{g(t)}\Big| \leqslant C\|f\|_{C^n}\|u_t\|_{L^2(M,g(t))}.$$

For the term $\int_M \lambda(t)f^2(Q_{g(t)}-\lambda(t)f)\, d\mu_{g(t)}$, we can use Hölder's inequality and Lemma 4.1 to get

$$\Big|\int_M \lambda(t)f^2(Q_{g(t)}-\lambda(t)f)\, d\mu_{g(t)}\Big| \leqslant C\|\lambda(t)f - Q_{g(t)}\|_{L^2(M,g(t))}.$$

Hence by (4.2), (7.7), Lemma 6.1, and two estimates above, there holds

$$|\lambda'(t)| \leqslant C\sqrt{F_2(t_0)}\exp(-c_0(t-t_0))$$

for some constant $C>0$ depending only on u_0. Thus, for $t_0<t_1<t_2$, there holds

$$|\lambda(t_2)-\lambda(t_1)| \leqslant \int_{t_1}^{t_2}|\lambda'(s)|ds \leqslant C\sqrt{F_2(t_1)}\exp(-c_0(t_1-t_0)). \tag{7.9}$$

Sending t_2 to $+\infty$, we deduce that $|\lambda(t_1)-\lambda_\infty| \leqslant C\sqrt{F_2(t_1)}\exp(-c_0(t_1-t_0))$. Making use of (7.7), we get

$$|\lambda(t)-\lambda_\infty| \leqslant C\sqrt{F_2(t_0)}\exp(-2c_0(t-t_0)) \tag{7.10}$$

for all $t \geqslant t_0$. To estimate $\|u(t)-u_\infty\|_{H^{n/2}(M,g_0)}$ we first apply (6.2) to get

$$\|u(t_2)-u(t_1)\|_{L^2(M,g_0)} \leqslant \int_{t_1}^{t_2}\|u_t\|_{L^2(M,g_0)}\, d\mu_{g_0} \leqslant C\sqrt{F_2(t_1)}\exp(-c_0(t_1-t_0)). \tag{7.11}$$

From this we also obtain

$$\|u(t)-u_\infty\|_{L^2(M,g_0)} \leqslant \int_{t_1}^{t_2}\|u_t\|_{L^2(M,g_0)}\, d\mu_{g_0} \leqslant C\sqrt{F_2(t_0)}\exp(-2c_0(t-t_0))$$

for all $t \geqslant t_0$. To obtain the full norm defined in (1.16), we use equations satisfied by $u(t_1)$ and $u(t_2)$ with $t_2>t_1\geqslant t_0$. Clearly,

$$\mathbf{P}_0(u(t_2)-u(t_1)) + Q_0(u(t_2)-u(t_1)) = \lambda(t_2)fe^{nu(t_2)} - \lambda(t_1)fe^{nu(t_1)},$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned}\int_M (u(t_2)-u(t_1))\cdot\mathbf{P}_0(u(t_2)-u(t_1))\, d\mu_{g_0} + \int_M Q_0(u(t_2)-u(t_1))^2\, d\mu_{g_0}\\ = \int_M \Big[\lambda(t_2)fe^{nu(t_2)} - \lambda(t_1)fe^{nu(t_1)}\Big](u(t_2)-u(t_1))\, d\mu_{g_0}.\end{aligned} \tag{7.12}$$

To go further, we need a little care for the right hand side of (7.12). We write this term as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\int_M \Big[\lambda(t_2) f e^{nu(t_2)} - \lambda(t_1) f e^{nu(t_1)}\Big](u(t_2)-u(t_1))\, d\mu_{g_0} \\ = (\lambda(t_2)-\lambda(t_1)) \int_M f e^{nu(t_2)}(u(t_2)-u(t_1))\, d\mu_{g_0} \\ + \lambda(t_1) \int_M f\Big[e^{nu(t_2)} - e^{nu(t_1)}\Big](u(t_2)-u(t_1))\, d\mu_{g_0}.\end{aligned} \tag{7.13}$$

Thanks to (7.9), the first term on the right hand side of (7.13) is bounded from above by $C\sqrt{F_2(t_0)}\exp(-2c_0(t_1-t_0))$ because the integral goes to zero. The remaining term on the right hand side of (7.13) is also bounded by $C\sqrt{F_2(t_0)}\exp(-2c_0(t_1-t_0))$, thanks to (7.11). These facts together with (7.12) imply that

$$\int_M (u(t_2)-u(t_1))\cdot \mathbf{P}_0(u(t_2)-u(t_1))\, d\mu_{g_0} \leqslant C F_2(t_0)\exp(-2c_0(t_1-t_0)). \tag{7.14}$$

Thus, we have just shown that

$$\|u(t_2)-u(t_1)\|_{H^{n/2}(M,g_0)} \leqslant C\sqrt{F_2(t_0)}\exp(-c_0(t_1-t_0)),$$

which implies

$$\|u(t)-u_\infty\|_{H^{n/2}(M,g_0)} \leqslant C\sqrt{F_2(t_0)}\exp(-c_0(t_1-t_0)). \tag{7.15}$$

Combining (7.10) and (7.15) gives (7.4) as claimed. □

In practice, it is not easy to say whenever the condition (7.2) actually holds. In the following, we provide a simple case to verify (7.2).

Corollary 7.2. *Suppose that $f \leqslant 0$ everywhere in M and $f \not\equiv 0$. Then if the flow (F) converges, then it converges exponentially fast as time $t \to \infty$.*

It is immediate to see that the estimate (7.2) automatically holds if $f \leqslant 0$ everywhere in M. Hence Corollary 7.2 is a consequence of Theorem 7.1 above.

Acknowledgments

Q.A. Ngô would like to thank the Vietnam Institute for Advanced Study in Mathematics (VIASM) for hosting and support where this work was initiated. Thanks also go to Professor Michael Struwe for sharing his preprint [Str16] at an early stage and to Professor Andreas Juhl for useful discussion on the GJMS operators. H. Zhang would like to acknowledge the support from the NUS Research Grant R-146-000-169-112 between Oct 2015 and Jan 2016 when part of the work was done. H. Zhang would also like to thank the hospitality of Professor Xi'nan Ma during his visit to University of Science and Technology of China where part of the work was done. Both authors would like to thank Professor Xingwang Xu for his constant encouragement.

Appendix A. An alternative proof of Ge–Xu's result

In this section, we provide an alternative proof of [GX08, Theorem 3.2]. Using our notation and conventions, we shall prove the following result.

Theorem A.1 (see [GX08])**.** *Let (M, g_0) be a compact, oriented n-dimensional Riemannian manifold with n even. Assume that the Paneitz operator $\mathbf{P}_0$ is positive with kernel consisting of constant functions. Moreover, assume that the metric g_0 satisfies*

$$\int_M Q_0\, d\mu_{g_0} = 0.$$

If f is a smooth function on M such that $\int_M f\,d\mu_{g_0} < 0$, then there exists a conformal metric $g \in [g_0]$ such that $Q_g = f$.

To prove Theorem A.1 above, we also use variational techniques; however, the only difference between our approach and that of [GX08] is the constraint used. Thanks to the resolution of the problem of prescribing constant Q-curvature [Ndi07], we can assume at the beginning that the background metric g_0 has $Q_0 \equiv 0$. From this fact, to conclude Theorem A.1, it is about to solve

$$\mathbf{P}_0 u = f e^{nu} \tag{A.1}$$

for u.

Step 1. Inspired by Lemma 3.1, we let

$$\mathscr{H} = \Big\{u \in H^{n/2}(M, g_0) : \int_M f e^{nu}\,d\mu_{g_0} = 0, \int_M e^{nu}\,d\mu_{g_0} = \mathrm{vol}(M)\Big\}. \tag{A.2}$$

As can be observed from [GX08], the condition $\int_M u\,d\mu_{g_0} = 0$ has been replaced by the condition $\int_M e^{nu}\,d\mu_{g_0} = \mathrm{vol}(M)$ in (A.2).

As always, we shall minimize the following functional energy

$$\mathscr{E}[u] = \int_M u \cdot \mathbf{P}_0 u\ d\mu_{g_0} \tag{A.3}$$

subject to the condition $u \in \mathscr{H}$. Following the method in [GX08], it is not hard to see that the set $\mathscr{H}$ is non-empty, thanks to $\int_M f\,d\mu_{g_0} < 0$. Since $\mathscr{H}$ is non-empty and E is bounded from below by 0, we know that

$$\mu = \inf_{u \in \mathscr{H}} \mathscr{E}(u)$$

is finite and non-negative. As in (1.16), we still use an equivalent norm on $H^{n/2}(M, g_0)$ as follows

$$\|u\|^2_{H^{n/2}(M,g_0)} = \int_M u \cdot \mathbf{P}_0 u\ d\mu_{g_0} + \int_M u^2\ d\mu_{g_0}.$$

Suppose that $\{u_k\}_k \subset \mathscr{H}$ is a minimizing sequence for μ. Clearly, $\int_M u_k \cdot \mathbf{P}_0 u_k\ d\mu_{g_0}$ is bounded. Hence, to bound the sequence $\{u_k\}_k$ in $H^{n/2}(M, g_0)$, it suffices to bound $\int_M u_k^2\,d\mu_{g_0}$. However, this can be easily obtained, in the same fashion of Lemma 3.2, since we can easily bound $\int_M u_k\,d\mu_{g_0}$. Therefore, up to subsequences, there exists some $u_\infty \in H^{n/2}(M, g_0)$ such that

- $u_k \rightharpoonup u_\infty$ weakly in $H^{n/2}(M, g_0)$ and
- $u_k \to u_\infty$ almost everywhere in M

as $k \to +\infty$. Clearly,

$$\mathscr{E}[u_\infty] \leqslant \liminf_{k \to +\infty} \mathscr{E}[u_k].$$

From this we conclude that $\mathscr{E}[u_\infty] = \mu$ and that u_∞ is an optimizer for μ since $u_\infty \in \mathscr{H}$, thanks to Trudinger's inequality. By Lagrange's multiplier, there exist two constants α and β such that

$$\int_M \varphi \cdot \mathbf{P}_0 u_\infty\,d\mu_{g_0} = \alpha \int_M e^{nu_\infty}\varphi\,d\mu_{g_0} + \beta \int_M f e^{nu_\infty}\varphi\,d\mu_{g_0} \tag{A.4}$$

for any $\varphi \in H^{n/2}(M, g_0)$. Testing (A.4) with $\varphi \equiv 1$ gives $\alpha = 0$ and hence $\beta \neq 0$.

Step 2. To realize that our equation (A.1) has a solution, it is necessary to prove that $\beta > 0$. To this purpose, we minimize $\mathscr{E}$ over the set

$$\widetilde{\mathscr{H}} = \Big\{u \in H^{n/2}(M, g_0) : \int_M f e^{nu}\,d\mu_{g_0} \geqslant 0, \frac{\mathrm{vol}(M)}{2} \leqslant \int_M e^{nu}\,d\mu_{g_0} \leqslant \frac{3\mathrm{vol}(M)}{2}\Big\}. \tag{A.5}$$

Clearly $\mathscr{H} \subset \widetilde{\mathscr{H}}$. Let

$$\widetilde{\mu} = \inf_{u \in \widetilde{\mathscr{H}}} \mathscr{E}(u).$$

Clearly, $\widetilde{\mu} \leqslant \mu$. Using the same argument as before, there exists some function $\widetilde{u}_\infty \in \widetilde{\mathscr{H}}$ such that $\widetilde{\mu} = \mathscr{E}(\widetilde{u}_\infty)$. (Note that the positively boundedness away from zero of $\int_M e^{nu}\, d\mu_{g_0}$ will be enough to guarantee an upper bound for $\overline{u}$; see Lemma 3.2.) Suppose that $\widetilde{\mu} < \mu$, by Lagrange's multiplier, there holds

$$\int_M \varphi \cdot \mathbf{P}_0 \widetilde{u}_\infty \, d\mu_{g_0} = 0 \tag{A.6}$$

for any $\varphi \in H^{n/2}(M, g_0)$. By testing (A.6) with $\varphi \equiv \widetilde{u}_\infty$ we deduce that $\widetilde{u}_\infty \in \widetilde{\mathscr{H}} \backslash \mathscr{H}$ is constant. From this we obtain a contradiction to $\widetilde{u}_\infty \in \widetilde{\mathscr{H}}$ because

$$0 < \int_M f e^{n\widetilde{u}_\infty} \, d\mu_{g_0} = e^{n\widetilde{u}_\infty} \int_M f \, d\mu_{g_0} < 0.$$

Thus, we have just shown that $\widetilde{\mu} = \mu$. By contradiction, suppose that $\beta < 0$. Then by (A.4), we have

$$\mathscr{E}[u_\infty] = \mu = \beta \int_M f e^{nu_\infty} u_\infty \, d\mu_{g_0},$$

which implies that $\int_M f e^{nu_\infty} u_\infty \, d\mu_{g_0} < 0$. Set

$$l(t) = \int_M f e^{ntu_\infty} \, d\mu_{g_0}.$$

Clearly, $l(1) = 0$ and $l'(1) < 0$. Hence there exists some $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ closed to 1 such that $l(\lambda) > 0$ and

$$\frac{\mathrm{vol}(M)}{2} \leqslant \int_M e^{n\lambda u_\infty} \, d\mu_{g_0} \leqslant \frac{3\mathrm{vol}(M)}{2}.$$

In other words, we conclude that $\lambda u_\infty \in \widetilde{\mathscr{H}}$ which implies that

$$\widetilde{\mu} \leqslant \mathscr{E}[\lambda u_\infty] = \lambda^2 \mathscr{E}[u_\infty] < \mathscr{E}[u_\infty] = \widetilde{\mu}.$$

(Note that in the preceding argument, we have used the fact $\mathbf{P}_0(\lambda u_\infty) = \lambda \mathbf{P}_0(u_\infty)$. This is because the term with no derivative in $\mathbf{P}_0$ is Q_0, which is zero.) This contradiction shows $\beta > 0$.

Step 3. Once we can show that $\beta > 0$, it is immediate to verify that $u_\infty + (\log \beta)/n$ solves our equation (A.1).

Appendix B. Proof of Lemmas 3.3 and 3.6

B.1. **Proof of Lemma 3.3.** We prove that for a measurable subset K of M with $\mathrm{vol}(K) > 0$, there are two constants $\alpha > 1$ depending on M and g_0 and $C_K > 1$ depending on M, g_0, and $\mathrm{vol}(K)$ such that

$$\int_M e^{nu} \, d\mu_{g_0} \leqslant C_K \exp\left(\alpha \|u_0\|^2_{H^{n/2}(M,g_0)}\right) \max\left\{\Big(\int_K e^{nu} \, d\mu_{g_0}\Big)^\alpha, 1\right\}. \tag{B.1}$$

Step 1. Borrowing the idea in [BFR06], in this step we prove that

$$\int_M u \, d\mu_{g_0} \leqslant \left|\mathscr{E}[u_0]\right| + \frac{C}{\mathrm{vol}(K)} + \frac{4\mathrm{vol}(M)}{\mathrm{vol}(K)} \max\Big\{\int_K u \, d\mu_{g_0}, 0\Big\} \tag{B.2}$$

for some (uniform) constant $C > 0$. Fix $t > 0$ and denote $u = u(t)$ if no confusion occurs. Suppose that $\int_M u \, d\mu_{g_0} > 0$, otherwise (B.2) is trivial. By the definition of the energy functional and Lemma 2.2, there holds

$$\int_M \mathbf{P}_0 u \cdot u \, d\mu_{g_0} \leqslant \frac{2}{n} \mathscr{E}[u_0] - 2 \int_M Q_0 u \, d\mu_{g_0}.$$

By Poincaré's inequality (1.9), we further obtain

$$\int_M u^2\,d\mu_{g_0} \leqslant \frac{2}{n\lambda_1(\mathbf{P}_0)}\mathscr{E}[u_0] - \frac{2}{\lambda_1(\mathbf{P}_0)}\int_M Q_0 u\,d\mu_{g_0} + \frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}(M)}\Big(\int_M u\,d\mu_{g_0}\Big)^2. \tag{B.3}$$

Depending on the sign of $\int_K u\,d\mu_{g_0}$, we consider the following two cases.

Case 1. Suppose that $\int_K u\,d\mu_{g_0} \leqslant 0$. Then it is easy to see that

$$\Big(\int_M u\,d\mu_{g_0}\Big)^2 \leqslant \Big(\int_{M\setminus K} u\,d\mu_{g_0}\Big)^2 \leqslant \mathrm{vol}(M\setminus K)\int_M u^2\,d\mu_{g_0}.$$

From this we obtain from (B.3) the following

$$\frac{\mathrm{vol}(K)}{\mathrm{vol}(M)}\int_M u^2\,d\mu_{g_0} \leqslant \frac{2}{n\lambda_1(\mathbf{P}_0)}\mathscr{E}[u_0] - \frac{2}{\lambda_1(\mathbf{P}_0)}\int_M Q_0 u\,d\mu_{g_0}. \tag{B.4}$$

Upon using Young's inequality again, we deduce that

$$\Big|\frac{2}{\lambda_1(\mathbf{P}_0)}\int_M Q_0 u\,d\mu_{g_0}\Big| \leqslant \frac{2\mathrm{vol}(M)}{\lambda_1(\mathbf{P}_0)^2\mathrm{vol}(K)}\int_M Q_0^2\,d\mu_{g_0} + \frac{\mathrm{vol}(K)}{2\mathrm{vol}(M)}\int_M u^2\,d\mu_{g_0}.$$

Hence, we obtain from (B.4) the following

$$\int_M u^2\,d\mu_{g_0} \leqslant \frac{4\mathrm{vol}(M)}{n\lambda_1(\mathbf{P}_0)\mathrm{vol}(K)}\mathscr{E}[u_0] + \frac{4}{\lambda_1(\mathbf{P}_0)^2}\frac{\mathrm{vol}(M)^2}{\mathrm{vol}(K)^2}\int_M Q_0^2\,d\mu_{g_0},$$

which implies

$$\Big(\int_M u\,d\mu_{g_0}\Big)^2 \leqslant \underbrace{\frac{4\mathrm{vol}(M)^2}{n\lambda_1(\mathbf{P}_0)\mathrm{vol}(K)}\mathscr{E}[u_0]}_{\leqslant \mathscr{E}[u_0]^2 + \left(\frac{2\mathrm{vol}(M)^2}{n\lambda_1(\mathbf{P}_0)\mathrm{vol}(K)}\right)^2} + \frac{4}{\lambda_1(\mathbf{P}_0)^2}\frac{\mathrm{vol}(M)^3}{\mathrm{vol}(K)^2}\int_M Q_0^2\,d\mu_{g_0}. \tag{B.5}$$

Thus we have just shown from (B.5) that

$$\int_M u\,d\mu_{g_0} \leqslant \big|\mathscr{E}[u_0]\big| + \frac{\mathrm{vol}(M)^2}{n\lambda_1(\mathbf{P}_0)\mathrm{vol}(K)} + \frac{2}{\lambda_1(\mathbf{P}_0)}\frac{\mathrm{vol}(M)^{3/2}}{\mathrm{vol}(K)}\Big(\int_M Q_0^2\,d\mu_{g_0}\Big)^{1/2}.$$

This establishes (B.2) in this case.

Case 2. Suppose that $\int_K u\,d\mu_{g_0} > 0$. First, we rewrite (B.3) as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\int_M u^2\,d\mu_{g_0} \leqslant& \frac{2}{n\lambda_1(\mathbf{P}_0)}\mathscr{E}[u_0] - \frac{2}{\lambda_1(\mathbf{P}_0)}\int_M Q_0 u\,d\mu_{g_0}\\ &+ \frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}(M)}\Big(\Big(\int_K u\,d\mu_{g_0}\Big)^2 + \Big(\int_{M\setminus K} u\,d\mu_{g_0}\Big)^2\Big)\\ &+ \frac{2}{\mathrm{vol}(M)}\Big(\int_K u\,d\mu_{g_0}\Big)\Big(\int_{M\setminus K} u\,d\mu_{g_0}\Big).\end{aligned}$$

By Young's inequality and the fact that $(\int_{M\setminus K} u\,d\mu_{g_0})^2 \leqslant \mathrm{vol}(M\setminus K)\int_M u^2\,d\mu_{g_0}$, we obtain

$$\frac{2}{\mathrm{vol}(M)}\Big(\int_K u\,d\mu_{g_0}\Big)\Big(\int_{M\setminus K} u\,d\mu_{g_0}\Big) \leqslant \frac{2\mathrm{vol}(M\setminus K)}{\mathrm{vol}(K)\mathrm{vol}(M)}\Big(\int_K u\,d\mu_{g_0}\Big)^2 + \frac{\mathrm{vol}(K)}{2\mathrm{vol}(M)}\Big(\int_M u^2\,d\mu_{g_0}\Big).$$

Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_M u^2\,d\mu_{g_0} \leqslant& \frac{2}{n\lambda_1(\mathbf{P}_0)}\mathscr{E}[u_0] - \frac{2}{\lambda_1(\mathbf{P}_0)}\int_M Q_0 u\,d\mu_{g_0}\\ &+ \frac{\mathrm{vol}(K)+2\mathrm{vol}(M\setminus K)}{\mathrm{vol}(K)\mathrm{vol}(M)}\Big(\int_K u\,d\mu_{g_0}\Big)^2 + \frac{\mathrm{vol}(K)+2\mathrm{vol}(M\setminus K)}{2\mathrm{vol}(M)}\Big(\int_M u^2\,d\mu_{g_0}\Big)\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\frac{\mathrm{vol}(K)}{2\mathrm{vol}(M)}\int_M u^2\,d\mu_{g_0} \leqslant \frac{2}{n\lambda_1(\mathbf{P}_0)}\mathscr{E}[u_0] - \frac{2}{\lambda_1(\mathbf{P}_0)}\int_M Q_0 u\,d\mu_{g_0} + \frac{2}{\mathrm{vol}(K)}\Big(\int_K u\,d\mu_{g_0}\Big)^2$$

Using Young's inequality once more, we obtain

$$\Big|\frac{2}{\lambda_1(\mathbf{P}_0)}\int_M Q_0 u\, d\mu_{g_0}\Big| \leqslant \frac{4\mathrm{vol}(M)}{\lambda_1(\mathbf{P}_0)^2\mathrm{vol}(K)}\int_M Q_0^2\, d\mu_{g_0} + \frac{\mathrm{vol}(K)}{4\mathrm{vol}(M)}\int_M u^2\, d\mu_{g_0}.$$

Therefore,

$$\int_M u^2\, d\mu_{g_0} \leqslant \frac{8}{n\lambda_1(\mathbf{P}_0)}\frac{\mathrm{vol}(M)}{\mathrm{vol}(K)}\mathscr{E}[u_0] + \frac{16\mathrm{vol}(M)^2}{\lambda_1(\mathbf{P}_0)^2\mathrm{vol}(K)^2}\int_M Q_0^2\, d\mu_{g_0} + \frac{8\mathrm{vol}(M)}{\mathrm{vol}(K)^2}\Big(\int_K u\, d\mu_{g_0}\Big)^2$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned}\Big(\int_M u\, d\mu_{g_0}\Big)^2 \leqslant{}& \overbrace{\frac{8}{n\lambda_1(\mathbf{P}_0)}\frac{\mathrm{vol}(M)^2}{\mathrm{vol}(K)}\mathscr{E}[u_0]}^{\leqslant \mathscr{E}[u_0]^2+\left(\frac{4\mathrm{vol}(M)^2}{n\lambda_1(\mathbf{P}_0)\mathrm{vol}(K)}\right)^2} \\ &+ \frac{16\mathrm{vol}(M)^3}{\lambda_1(\mathbf{P}_0)^2\mathrm{vol}(K)^2}\int_M Q_0^2\, d\mu_{g_0} + \frac{8\mathrm{vol}(M)^2}{\mathrm{vol}(K)^2}\Big(\int_K u\, d\mu_{g_0}\Big)^2.\end{aligned} \tag{B.6}$$

Thus we have just shown from (B.6) that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_M u\, d\mu_{g_0} \leqslant{}& \mathscr{E}[u_0] + \frac{4\mathrm{vol}(M)^2}{n\lambda_1(\mathbf{P}_0)\mathrm{vol}(K)} + \frac{4}{\lambda_1(\mathbf{P}_0)}\frac{\mathrm{vol}(M)^{3/2}}{\mathrm{vol}(K)}\Big(\int_M Q_0^2\, d\mu_{g_0}\Big)^{1/2} \\ &+ \frac{2\sqrt{2}\mathrm{vol}(M)}{\mathrm{vol}(K)}\Big(\int_K u\, d\mu_{g_0}\Big)\end{aligned}$$

and this also establishes (B.2) in this case.

Step 2. By the definition of the energy functional and Lemma 2.2, there holds

$$\int_M \mathbf{P}_0 u\cdot u\, d\mu_{g_0} \leqslant \frac{2}{n}\mathscr{E}[u_0] - 2\Big(\int_M Q_0\, d\mu_{g_0}\Big)\overline{u} - 2\int_M Q_0(u-\overline{u})\, d\mu_{g_0}.$$

Making use of Young's inequality and Poincaré's inequality (1.9), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_M \mathbf{P}_0 u\cdot u\, d\mu_{g_0} \leqslant{}& \frac{2}{n}\mathscr{E}[u_0] - 2\Big(\int_M Q_0\, d\mu_{g_0}\Big)\overline{u} \\ &+ \frac{2}{\lambda_1(\mathbf{P}_0)}\Big(\int_M Q_0^2\, d\mu_{g_0}\Big) + \frac{\lambda_1(\mathbf{P}_0)}{2}\Big(\int_M (u-\overline{u})^2\, d\mu_{g_0}\Big) \\ \leqslant{}& \frac{2}{n}\mathscr{E}[u_0] - 2\Big(\int_M Q_0\, d\mu_{g_0}\Big)\overline{u} + \frac{2}{\lambda_1(\mathbf{P}_0)}\Big(\int_M Q_0^2\, d\mu_{g_0}\Big) + \frac{1}{2}\int_M \mathbf{P}_0 u\cdot u\, d\mu_{g_0}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have just proved that

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_M \mathbf{P}_0 u\cdot u\, d\mu_{g_0} \leqslant \frac{2}{n}\mathscr{E}[u_0] - 2\Big(\int_M Q_0\, d\mu_{g_0}\Big)\overline{u} + \frac{2}{\lambda_1(\mathbf{P}_0)}\Big(\int_M Q_0^2\, d\mu_{g_0}\Big).$$

Thanks to (3.12), we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\int_M e^{nu}\, d\mu_{g_0} \leqslant{}& \mathscr{C}_A \exp\Big(\frac{n}{2(n-1)!\omega_n}\int_M u\cdot \mathbf{P}_0 u\, d\mu_{g_0} + \frac{n}{\mathrm{vol}(M)}\int_M u\, d\mu_{g_0}\Big) \\ \leqslant{}& \mathscr{C}_A \exp\Big[\frac{2}{(n-1)!\omega_n}\mathscr{E}[u_0] + \frac{n}{\mathrm{vol}(M)}\Big(1 - \frac{2}{(n-1)!\omega_n}\int_M Q_0\, d\mu_{g_0}\Big)\Big(\int_M u\, d\mu_{g_0}\Big) \\ &+ \frac{n}{(n-1)!\omega_n}\frac{2}{\lambda_1(\mathbf{P}_0)}\Big(\int_M Q_0^2\, d\mu_{g_0}\Big)\Big].\end{aligned}$$

Notice that

$$\mathscr{E}[u_0] \leqslant \frac{n}{2}\|u_0\|^2_{H^{n/2}(M,g_0)} + \int_M Q_0^2\, d\mu_{g_0}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_M e^{nu}\ d\mu_{g_0} \leqslant & \mathscr{C}_A \exp\Big[\frac{n}{(n-1)!\omega_n}\|u_0\|^2_{H^{n/2}(M,g_0)} + \frac{2}{(n-1)!\omega_n}\Big(1+\frac{n}{\lambda_1(\mathbf{P}_0)}\Big)\int_M Q_0^2\, d\mu_{g_0} \\ & + \frac{n}{\mathrm{vol}(M)}\Big(1-\frac{2}{(n-1)!\omega_n}\int_M Q_0\, d\mu_{g_0}\Big)\Big(\int_M u\ d\mu_{g_0}\Big)\Big] \\ \leqslant & C\exp\Big(\frac{n}{(n-1)!\omega_n}\|u_0\|^2_{H^{n/2}(M,g_0)} + B\int_M u\ d\mu_{g_0}\Big)\end{aligned} \tag{B.7}$$

for some positive constants B, C depending only on (M, g_0). Combining (B.2) and (B.7) gives

$$\int_M e^{nu}\ d\mu_{g_0} \leqslant C'_K \exp\Big(A_1\|u_0\|^2_{H^{n/2}(M,g_0)} + \frac{B_1}{\mathrm{vol}(K)}\max\Big\{\int_K u\, d\mu_{g_0}, 0\Big\}\Big), \tag{B.8}$$

where A_1 and B_1 depend on (M, g_0) and $C'_K > 0$ depends on (M, g_0) and $\mathrm{vol}(K)$. Clearly, we may suppose that $B_1 > n$ by replacing it with $\max\{n+1, B_1\}$, if necessary. Set $\alpha = \max\{A_1, B_1/n\} > 1$, we deduce that

$$\int_M e^{nu}\ d\mu_{g_0} \leqslant C'_K \exp\big(\alpha\|u_0\|^2_{H^{n/2}(M,g_0)}\big)\exp\Big(\frac{\alpha}{\mathrm{vol}(K)}\max\Big\{\int_K nu\, d\mu_{g_0}, 0\Big\}\Big), \tag{B.9}$$

By Jensen's inequality, we have

$$\exp\Big(\frac{\alpha}{\mathrm{vol}(K)}\int_K nu\, d\mu_{g_0}\Big) \leqslant \Big(\frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}(K)}\int_K e^{nu}\, d\mu_{g_0}\Big)^{\alpha}.$$

From this we know that

$$\begin{aligned}\exp\Big(\frac{\alpha}{\mathrm{vol}(K)}\max\Big\{\int_K nu\, d\mu_{g_0}, 0\Big\}\Big) &= \max\Big\{\exp\Big(\frac{\alpha}{\mathrm{vol}(K)}\int_K nu\, d\mu_{g_0}\Big), 1\Big\} \\ &\leqslant \max\Big\{\Big(\frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}(K)}\int_K e^{nu}\, d\mu_{g_0}\Big)^{\alpha}, 1\Big\}\end{aligned} \tag{B.10}$$

Plugging (B.10) into (B.9) gives

$$\begin{aligned}\int_M e^{nu}\ d\mu_{g_0} \leqslant & C'_K \exp\big(\alpha\|u_0\|^2_{H^{n/2}(M,g_0)}\big)\max\Big\{\Big(\frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}(K)}\Big)^{\alpha}, 1\Big\}\max\Big\{\Big(\int_K e^{nu}\, d\mu_{g_0}\Big)^{\alpha}, 1\Big\} \\ = & C_K \exp\big(\alpha\|u_0\|^2_{H^{n/2}(M,g_0)}\big)\max\Big\{\Big(\int_K e^{nu}\, d\mu_{g_0}\Big)^{\alpha}, 1\Big\},\end{aligned}$$

which is the desired estimate (B.1). The proof is complete.

B.2. **Proof of Lemma 3.6.** For any $y \in \mathbb{S}^n$ and $r \geqslant 1$, we set

$$w_{y,r} = u\circ\phi_{y,r} + \frac{1}{n}\log(\det d\phi_{y,r}),$$

where $\phi_{y,r}$ is the conformal transformation of $\mathbb{S}^n$. It is well-known that, for all $t \in [0, T)$, there exist $y(t) \in \mathbb{S}^n$ and $r(t) \geqslant 1$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} x_i e^{nw_{y(t),r(t)}}\ d\mu_{\mathbb{S}^n} = 0 \tag{B.11}$$

for $i = 1, 2, ...n$. Set $w(t) = w_{y(t),r(t)}$ and $\phi(t) = \phi_{y(t),r(t)}$. To emphasize that we are working on $\mathbb{S}^n$, let us denote $\mathbf{P}_0$ by $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbb{S}^n}$. Then we have by Lemma 2.2

$$\frac{n}{2}\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} w(t)\mathbf{P}_{\mathbb{S}^n}w(t)\ d\mu_{\mathbb{S}^n} + n!\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} w(t)\ d\mu_{\mathbb{S}^n} = \mathscr{E}[w(t)] = \mathscr{E}[u(t)] \leqslant \mathscr{E}[u_0], \tag{B.12}$$

which implies that

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} w(t)\ d\mu_{\mathbb{S}^n} \leqslant \frac{\mathscr{E}[u_0]}{n!}. \tag{B.13}$$

Since $u_0 \in Y$, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} f \circ \phi(t) e^{nw(t)} \, d\mu_{\mathbb{S}^n} = \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} f e^{nu(t)} = (n-1)!\omega_n.$$

This concludes that

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} e^{nw(t)} \, d\mu_{\mathbb{S}^n} \geqslant \frac{(n-1)!\omega_n}{\sup_{x\in\mathbb{S}^n} f}. \tag{B.14}$$

Since w satisfies (B.11), we can apply [WX98, Theorem 2.6] and use (B.12) and (B.14) to get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(n-1)!}{\sup_{x\in S^n} f} &\leqslant \frac{1}{\omega_n} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} e^{nw(t)} \, d\mu_{\mathbb{S}^n} \\ &\leqslant \exp\left\{ \frac{1}{(n-1)!\omega_n} \left(\frac{an}{2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} w(t) \mathbf{P}_{\mathbb{S}^n} w(t) \, d\mu_{\mathbb{S}^n} + n! \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} w(t) \, d\mu_{\mathbb{S}^n} \right) \right\} \\ &\leqslant \exp\left\{ \frac{n(a-1)}{2(n-1)!\omega_n} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} w(t) \mathbf{P}_{\mathbb{S}^n} w(t) \, d\mu_{\mathbb{S}^n} + \frac{\mathscr{E}[u_0]}{(n-1)!\omega_n} \right\}, \end{aligned} \tag{B.15}$$

for some $0 < a < 1$. From this it follows that there exists an uniform constant $C_1 > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} w(t) \mathbf{P}_{\mathbb{S}^n} w(t) \, d\mu_{\mathbb{S}^n} \leqslant C_1, \tag{B.16}$$

Plugging (B.16) into (B.15) yields

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} w(t) \, d\mu_{\mathbb{S}^n} \geqslant \frac{\omega_n}{n} \log \frac{(n-1)!}{\sup_{x\in S^n} f} - \frac{aC_1}{2(n-1)!}. \tag{B.17}$$

Combining (B.13) and (B.17), we obtain that there exists an uniform constant $C_2 > 0$ such that

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} w(t) \, d\mu_{\mathbb{S}^n} \right| \leqslant C_2, \tag{B.18}$$

It follows from (B.16), (B.18) and Poincare's inequality (1.9)

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} w^2(t) \, d\mu_{\mathbb{S}^n} \leqslant C_3,$$

for some uniform constant $C_3 > 0$, which together with (B.16) imply that there exists an uniform constant $C_4 > 0$ such that

$$\|w\|_{H^{n/2}} \leqslant C_4. \tag{B.19}$$

Up to here, we have two possibilities below:

(i) If $r(t)$ is bounded for $t \in [0, T)$, then we have $0 < C_1 \leqslant \det(d\phi(t)) \leqslant C_2$. So by (B.19), we conclude that

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} |u(t)| \, d\mu_{\mathbb{S}^n} \leqslant C, \tag{B.20}$$

which together with Lemma 2.2 imply that

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} u \mathbf{P}_{\mathbb{S}^n} u \, d\mu_{\mathbb{S}^n} \leqslant C. \tag{B.21}$$

It follows from Poincaré's inequality, (B.20) and (B.21) that

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^{n/2}} \leqslant C.$$

(ii) If $r(t)$ is unbounded, then we can find a sequence $t_k \to T$ and $x_\infty \in \mathbb{S}^n$ such that $r(t_k) \to \infty$ and $x(t_k) \to x_\infty$ as $k \to +\infty$. From (B.19), it follows that there exists a subsequence of t_k (still denoted by t_k) and $w_\infty \in H^{n/2}(\mathbb{S}^n)$, such that $w(t_k) \rightharpoonup w_\infty$

weakly in $H^{n/2}(\mathbb{S}^n)$, $w(t_k) \to w_\infty$ strongly in $L^2(\mathbb{S}^n)$. Let $r > 0$ and set $B_k = (\phi(t_k))^{-1}(B_r(x_\infty))$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\left| \int_{\mathbb{S}^n \setminus B_k} f \circ \phi(t_k) e^{nw(t_k)} \, d\mu_{\mathbb{S}^n} \right| &\leqslant \sup_{x \in \mathbb{S}^n} f \left(\mathrm{vol}(\mathbb{S}^n \setminus B_k) \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} e^{2n|w(t_k)|} \, d\mu_{\mathbb{S}^n} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leqslant C \mathrm{vol}(\mathbb{S}^n \setminus B_k),\end{aligned} \tag{B.22}$$

where the last inequality can be obtained by (B.19) and the Trudinger-type inequality (2.3). Noice that $\lim_{k\to+\infty} \phi(t_k)(x) = x_\infty$ a.e., we have $\lim_{k\to+\infty} \mathrm{vol}(B_k) = \omega_n$ and hence $\lim_{k\to+\infty} \mathrm{vol}(\mathbb{S}^n \setminus B_k) = 0$. This fact together with (B.22) and the fact $u(t) \in Y$ imply that

$$\lim_{k\to+\infty} \int_{B_r(x_\infty)} f e^{nu(t_k)} \, d\mu_{\mathbb{S}^n} = (n-1)!\omega_n$$

for all $r > 0$. Now, let $y \in \mathbb{S}^n \setminus \{x_\infty\}$ and $0 \leqslant r < \mathrm{dist}(y, x_\infty)$. Then we have

$$\lim_{k\to+\infty} \mathrm{vol}(\phi(t_k)^{-1}(B_r(y))) = 0,$$

which implies that

$$\lim_{k\to+\infty} \int_{B_r(y)} f e^{nu(t_k)} \, d\mu_{\mathbb{S}^n} = \lim_{k\to+\infty} \int_{\phi(t_k)^{-1}(B_r(y))} f e^{nw(t_k)} \, d\mu_{\mathbb{S}^n} = 0.$$

This completes the present proof. □

Appendix C. The kernel of $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbb{S}^n}$ only consists of constant functions

This appendix is devoted to a proof of the fact that the kernel of $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbb{S}^n}$ on $(\mathbb{S}^n, g_{\mathbb{S}^n})$ only consists of constant functions. We believe that this fact is already known but we are unable to find a reference for it. To see this, first we recall

$$\mathbf{P}_{\mathbb{S}^n} = \prod_{k=0}^{n/2-1} (-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^n} + k(n-1-k)).$$

Note that all numbers $k(n-1-k)$ with $k = 0, 1, ..., n/2-1$ are non-negative. Therefore as a polynomial of $-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^n}$, $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbb{S}^n}$ has positive coefficients, which can be rewritten as

$$\mathbf{P}_{\mathbb{S}^n} = \sum_{m=1}^{n/2} c_m (-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^n})^m$$

for some positive numbers c_m. Hence, if the function u belongs to the kernel of $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbb{S}^n}$, then integration by parts gives

$$0 = \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} u \mathbf{P}_{\mathbb{S}^n} u \, d\mu_{\mathbb{S}^n} = \sum_{m=1}^{n/2} c_m \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} u(-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^n})^m u \, d\mu_{\mathbb{S}^n} \geqslant 0$$

since $\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} u(-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^n})^m u \, d\mu_{\mathbb{S}^n} = \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} |(-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^n})^{m/2} u|^2 \, d\mu_{\mathbb{S}^n}$ if m is even and $\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} u(-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^n})^m u \, d\mu_{\mathbb{S}^n} = \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} |\nabla(-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^n})^{(m-1)/2} u|^2 \, d\mu_{\mathbb{S}^n}$ if m is odd. From this we conclude that u must be constant. □

References

[Ada88] D. Adams, A sharp inequality of J. Moser for higher order derivatives, *Ann. of Math.* **128** (1988), pp. 385–398. 7

[BFR06] P. Baird, A. Fardoun, R. Regbaoui, *Q*-curvarure flow on 4-manifolds, *Calc. Var.* **27** (2006), pp. 75–104. 3, 4, 6, 10, 28

[BFR09] P. Baird, A. Fardoun, R. Regbaoui, Prescribed *Q*-curvature on manifolds of even dimension, *J. Geom. Phys.* **59** (2009), pp. 221–233. 3

[Bra85] T. Branson, Differential operators canonically associated to a conformal structure, *Math. Scand.* **57** (1985), pp. 293–345. 2

[Bra93] T. Branson, The functional determinant, Lecture notes series, No. 4, Seoul National University, 1993.

[Bra95] T. Branson, Sharp inequality, the functional determinant and the complementary series, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **347** (1995), pp. 3671–3742.

[Bre03] S. Brendle, Global existence and convergence for a higher order flow in conformal geometry, *Ann. of Math.* **158** (2003), pp. 323–343. 3, 6, 7, 14, 15, 16

[Bre03] S. Brendle, Prescribing a higher order conformal invariant on $\mathbb{S}^n$, *Comm. Anal. Geom.* **11** (2003), pp. 837–858. 6

[Bre06] S. Brendle, Convergence of the Q-curvature flow on $\mathbb{S}^4$, *Adv. Math.* **205** (2006), pp. 1–32. 6

[CCR15] A. Carlotto, O. Chodosh, Y.A Rubinstein, Slowly converging Yamabe flows, *Geom. Topol.* **19** (2015), pp. 1523–1568. 20, 21

[CX11] X. Chen, X. Xu, Q-curvature flow on the standard sphere of even dimension, *J. Funct. Anal.* **261** (2011), pp. 934–980. 6

[Chi03] R. Chill, On the Łojasiewicz–Simon gradient inequality, *J. Funct. Anal.* **201** (2003), pp. 572–601. 20, 21

[DM08] Z. Djadli, A. Malchiodi, Existence of conformal metrics with constant Q-curvature, *Ann. of Math.* **168** (2008), pp. 813–858. 6

[FR12] A. Fardoun, R. Regbaoui, Q-curvature flow for GJMS operators with non-trivial kernel, *J. Geom. Phys.* **62** (2012), pp. 2321–2328. 3

[FG85] C. Fefferman, C.R. Graham, Conformal invariants. The mathematical heritage of Élie Cartan (Lyon, 1984). *Astérisque* 1985, Numéro Hors Série, 95–116. 2

[GX08] Y.Ge, X. Xu, Prescribed Q-curvature problem on closed 4-Riemannian manifolds in the null case, *Calc. Var. PDE.* **31** (2008), pp. 549-555. 6, 26, 27

[GJMS92] C.R. Graham, R. Jenne, L. Mason, G. Sparling, Conformally invariant powers of the Laplacian, I: existence, *J. London Math. Soc.* **46** (1992), pp. 557–565. 2

[GM15] M. Gursky, A. Malchiodi, A strong maximum principle for the Paneitz operator and a non-local flow for the Q-curvature, *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* **17** (2015), pp. 2137–2173. 6

[Ndi07] C.B. Ndiaye, Constant Q-curvature metrics in arbitrary dimension, *J. Funct. Anal.* **251** (2007), pp. 1–58. 27

[NX15] Q.A. Ngô, X. Xu, Slow convergence of the Gaussian curvature flow on closed Riemannian surfaces with vanishing Euler characteristic, 2015, *unpublished notes*. 3

[Ho12] P.T. Ho, Prescribed Q-curvature flow on $\mathbb{S}^n$, *J. Geom. Phys.* **62** (2012), pp. 1233–1261. 6

[LLL12] J. Li, Y. Li, P. Liu, The Q-curvature on a 4-dimensional Riemannian manifold (M, g) with $\int_M QdVg = 8\pi^2$, *Adv. Math.* **231** (2012), pp. 2194–2223. 6

[MS06] A. Malchiodi, M. Struwe, Q-curvature flow on $\mathbb{S}^4$, *J. Differential Geom.* **73** (2006), pp. 1–44. 6

[Pan83] S. Paneitz, A quartic conformally covariant differential operator for arbitrary pseudo-Riemannian manifolds, preprint, 1983. 2

[Str16] M. Struwe, The prescribed curvature flow on the torus, preprint (2016). 23, 26

[WX98] J. Wei, X. Xu, On conformal deformations of metrics on $\mathbb{S}^n$, *J. Funct. Anal.* **157** (1998), pp. 292–325. 6, 32

[Zei90] E. Zeidler, *Nonlinear functional analysis and its applications. I: fixed-point theorems*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1990. 20

(Q.A. Ngô) Department of mathematics, College of Science, Viêt Nam National University, Ha Nôi, Viêt Nam

E-mail address: bookworm_vn@yahoo.com

(H. Zhang) Department of Mathematics, University of Science and Technology of China, No. 96 Jin Zhai Road, Hefei, Anhui, China 230026

E-mail address: matzhang@ustc.edu.cn